Peeking under the canopy: anomalously short fire-return intervals alter subalpine forest understory plant communities

Nathan G. Kiel[1] [iD], Kristin H. Braziunas[1,2] [iD] and Monica G. Turner[1] [iD]

[1]Department of Integrative Biology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA; [2]TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, 85354 Freising, Germany

Author for correspondence:
Nathan G. Kiel
Email: nkiel@wisc.edu

Summary

- Climate change is driving changes in disturbance regimes world-wide. In forests adapted to infrequent, high-severity fires, recent anomalously short fire-return intervals (FRIs) have resulted in greatly reduced postfire tree regeneration. However, effects on understory plant communities remain unexplored.
- Understory plant communities were sampled in 31 plot pairs across Greater Yellowstone (Wyoming, USA). Each pair included one plot burned at high severity twice in < 30 yr and one plot burned in the same most recent fire but not burned previously for > 125 yr. Understory communities following short-interval fires were also compared with those following the previous long-interval fire.
- Species capable of growing in drier conditions and in lower vegetation zones became more abundant and regional differences in plant communities declined following short-interval fire. Dissimilarity between plot pairs increased in mesic settings and decreased with time since fire and postfire winter snowfall. Reduced postfire tree density following short-interval fire rather than FRI *per se* affected the occurrence of most plant species.
- Anomalously short FRIs altered understory plant communities in space and time, with some indications of community thermophilization and regional homogenization. These and other shifts in understory plant communities may continue with ongoing changes in climate and fire across temperate forests.

Introduction

Natural disturbances such as fires, pest outbreaks, and hurricanes generate spatial and temporal heterogeneity, shape the structure and function of many ecosystems, and drive evolution in populations of flora and fauna globally (Turner, 2010; Peters *et al.*, 2011; Banks *et al.*, 2013; Keeley & Pausas, 2022). Disturbances vary in their size, severity, frequency, and seasonality, and variation in these disturbance characteristics over long periods of time is embodied in the historical range of variability (Landres *et al.*, 1999; Keane *et al.*, 2009). Biotic communities are typically well adapted to disturbances within these bounded ranges (e.g. Johnstone *et al.*, 2016), and ecosystem structure and function recovers during the interval between disturbances. However, ecosystems may change markedly if disturbances exceed their historical ranges of variability and recovery processes are disrupted (Turner & Seidl, 2023). As anthropogenic climate change alters disturbance dynamics in forests (Coop *et al.*, 2020; Grünig *et al.*, 2023) and aquatic (Daufresen & Boët, 2007; Palmer *et al.*, 2008; Herrera-R *et al.*, 2020) and marine environments (Wernberg *et al.*, 2016; Hughes *et al.*, 2018), there is growing concern for how ecosystems will respond.

Wildland fire is one such disturbance agent being transformed by climate change (Seidl *et al.*, 2017; Bowman *et al.*, 2020).

While ecosystems remain resilient under historical fire regimes (i.e. they recover to prefire structure and function; Holling, 1973), deviations from the historical ranges of variability in a fire regime may erode resilience and reorganize the structure and/or composition of biotic communities (Seidl & Turner, 2022). In boreal and temperate coniferous forests, fire is a dominant disturbance agent driving centuries-long forest development trajectories (Tolonen, 1983; Agee, 1993; Veblen *et al.*, 2006; Perry *et al.*, 2011). Observed and projected changes to fire and climate could disrupt this historical disturbance-recovery dynamic as contemporary forests face climate warming (Stevens-Rumann *et al.*, 2018; Rodman *et al.*, 2020; Reich *et al.*, 2022), increasing fire frequency (Turner *et al.*, 2019; Baltzer *et al.*, 2021; Agne *et al.*, 2022), growing fire size and extent of stand-replacing fire (Dennison *et al.*, 2014; Barbero *et al.*, 2015; Parks & Abatzoglou, 2020), and shifting fire distributions (Alizadeh *et al.*, 2021; Xu & You, 2022). For forests adapted to infrequent, high-severity fire, anomalously short fire-return intervals (FRIs) may catalyze postfire reorganization. Tree recruitment may be drastically reduced if forests reburn before reaching maturity (i.e. immaturity risk; Keeley *et al.*, 1999) and surrounding young, short-statured forest provide minimal *ex situ* seed pressure (Gill *et al.*, 2021). Hot, dry microclimate conditions following fire may further limit seedling establishment and growth

(Hoecker *et al.*, 2020; Wolf *et al.*, 2021). Reduced tree regeneration following anomalous short-interval fire has been increasingly observed (Turner *et al.*, 2019; Whitman *et al.*, 2019; Busby *et al.*, 2020; Hoecker & Turner, 2022; Braziunas *et al.*, 2023) with more expected (Halofsky *et al.*, 2020; Nolan *et al.*, 2021; Rammer *et al.*, 2021; Turner *et al.*, 2022) as climate and fire regimes continue to change (Rogers *et al.*, 2011; Westerling *et al.*, 2011; Henne *et al.*, 2021). However, few studies have focused on the potential effects of short-interval fires on understory vascular plant communities.

The understory plant community is an integral component of forest biodiversity and ecosystem function (Nilsson & Wardle, 2005; Gilliam, 2007). Community recovery following disturbance is driven by myriad factors (Roberts, 2004; Meiners *et al.*, 2015), including the regional species pool (Belote *et al.*, 2009), plant establishment and growth traits (Grime, 1977), and microclimate conditions (Zellweger *et al.*, 2020; Wolf *et al.*, 2021). Following short-interval fire, persistent absence of a tree canopy may directly affect the understory community through altered resource availability (Hart & Chen, 2006) and decreased temperature buffering capacity (Davis *et al.*, 2019; de Frenne *et al.*, 2019). Graminoids and annuals may be favored (Schoennagel *et al.*, 2004; Fairman *et al.*, 2017), as well as species adapted to warmer, drier conditions (i.e. community thermophilization; Gottfried *et al.*, 2012, de Frenne *et al.*, 2013, Stevens *et al.*, 2015, 2019). Such effects may be further amplified in already warm, dry landscape positions (Hoecker *et al.*, 2020) or where tree cover remains sparse (Andrade *et al.*, 2021); reduced tree recruitment following fire in lower montane forests (Donato *et al.*, 2016; Davis *et al.*, 2019; Young *et al.*, 2019) suggests plant communities in arid areas may be particularly vulnerable to change. What's more, natural and prescribed fire may homogenize previously distinct plant communities through homogenization of abiotic conditions (Mattingly *et al.*, 2015; Stevens *et al.*, 2015; Richter *et al.*, 2019; Weeks *et al.*, 2023), but whether such changes occur following anomalously short-interval fire is not known. As changing climate and fire regimes trigger postfire conversion of forest to sparse or nonforest (Coop *et al.*, 2020), elucidating effects on the whole plant community is needed to understand the future of these burned landscapes.

The recent uptick in fire activity across western North America (Abatzoglou & Williams, 2016; Higuera *et al.*, 2021) has contributed to > 50 000 ha of subalpine forest in the US Northern Rocky Mountains reburning at ≤ 23-yr FRIs (Harvey *et al.*, 2016a), an order of magnitude shorter than historical FRIs (100–300 yr; Romme & Despain, 1989, Schoennagel *et al.*, 2003, Whitlock *et al.*, 2008). Here, we used field data from paired plots following recent (1988–2018) short- and long-interval fires as well as published and unpublished data following previous long-interval fires to assess responses of plant community composition to short-interval fire in both space and time in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, Wyoming, USA. Fire rotations in Greater Yellowstone may shorten to < 30 yr by the end of this century (Westerling *et al.*, 2011), and fires in 2016 that burned > 18 000 ha of 16–28-yr-old forest suggest such change is already underway (Turner *et al.*, 2019). We asked: (1) How do understory plant communities vary in space and time following short- vs long-interval fire? (2) Which drivers explain understory community dissimilarity between paired short- and long-interval fires? (3) Which drivers best explain postfire understory plant community composition, and how do responses vary among species? We expected persistent or increasing cover of the understory community following short-interval fire because most taxa can resprout (Anderson & Romme, 1991). However, we expected shifts in community composition as annuals, graminoids, and species adapted to warm, dry conditions increase in abundance (i.e. thermophilization) relative to long-interval fire. We also hypothesized that plant communities from different regions in Greater Yellowstone would be more similar following recent short-interval fires than those in the same general locations following the previous long-interval fire. We expected dissimilarity between communities in paired short- and long-interval plots to be context dependent, with certain conditions increasing and others decreasing paired dissimilarity (Table 1). Finally, across all postfire communities, we expected the likelihood of occurrence of individual species to increase with longer time since fire, shorter FRIs, lower lodgepole pine densities, and more mesic topographic and climatic factors.

Materials and Methods

Study area

Greater Yellowstone is a *c.* 8.9 million ha temperate zone ecosystem at the southern end of the Northern Rockies ecoregion (Harvey *et al.*, 2016b; Hostetler *et al.*, 2021) and centered over Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks (YNP and GTNP, respectively). Vegetation varies with elevation: *Artemisia*-dominated shrublands below 1800 m above sea level (asl) transition into lower montane and subalpine forest from 1800 to 2900 m asl. Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *glauca*) predominates in lower montane forests while lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia*) forms nearly monospecific stands across YNP's midelevation plateaus, with subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*), Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*), and whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) becoming increasingly prevalent at higher elevations (Despain, 1990). Most soils in the region are volcanic-derived and relatively infertile, with rhyolitic substrates underlying lower montane and lodgepole pine-dominated subalpine forests and andesitic substrates at higher elevations (Despain, 1990; Whitlock, 1993). From 1981 to 2010, average temperature ranged from 22°C in July to −17.1°C in January, with *c.* 531 mm of annual precipitation (Lake Yellowstone, Wyoming, station #485345; Western Regional Climate Center, 2021).

The region's subalpine forests, particularly those dominated by lodgepole pine, are resilient to large, stand-replacing fires that have occurred at intervals of 100–300 yr throughout the Holocene (Romme & Despain, 1989; Schoennagel *et al.*, 2003; Whitlock *et al.*, 2008). For example, stem densities in lodgepole pine forests 11 yr after the 1988 Yellowstone fires, which burned > 250 000 ha of forest as stand-replacing fire, averaged

Table 1 Potential explanatory variables of Jaccard's dissimilarity between paired understory plant communities following short- and long-interval fire in lodgepole pine-dominated forests in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, Wyoming, USA.

Category	Variable	Units	Description	Hypothesis
Fire	Time since fire (TSF)	yr	Number of years since most recent fire (variable across pairs, consistent within pairs)	Dissimilarity will decrease with increasing TSF as communities converge over time
Site	Topographic moisture index (TMI)	Unitless	Cosine-transformed aspect (degrees) as an index of site aridity; 0 = southwest-facing aspect, 2 = northeast-facing aspect	Dissimilarity will decrease with increasing TMI as more southwesterly sites (and thus lower TMI) exhibit greater differences in composition
Tree density	Relative change in lodgepole pine density (PICOdiff)	Unitless	Proportional increase in log-transformed lodgepole pine density from short- to long-interval plot within pairs	Dissimilarity will increase with PICOdiff as greater differences in canopy cover drive changes in composition
Climate	30-yr normal summer water deficit (WD)	mm	General site summer aridity, measured as evaporative demand exceeding soil moisture availability during summer (June, July, August)	Dissimilarity will increase with WD as differences between paired plots are amplified in sites with greater summer moisture stress
	30-yr normal winter snow water equivalent (SWE)	mm	General site snow conditions, measured as the amount of water available in snow from the previous winter	Dissimilarity will decrease with 30-yr SWE as differences between paired plots are amplified in sites with longer growing seasons and reduced moisture availability
	3-yr postfire winter snow water equivalent (SWE)	mm	Winter snow conditions averaged over the first three postfire years	Dissimilarity will decrease with 3-yr SWE as greater postfire SWE (and thus moisture availability in the spring and early summer) buffer potential compositional changes

Climate variables retrieved from Abatzoglou *et al*. (2018).

c. 29 000 stems ha^{-1} (median 3100 stems ha^{-1}) and exceeded 500 000 stems ha^{-1} where prefire serotiny levels were high (Turner *et al*., 2004). The rest of the plant community exhibited similar resilience owing to prolific resprouting and rapid infilling by native perennials in the years immediately following fire (Anderson & Romme, 1991; Turner *et al*., 1997). However, dominant drivers of community composition changed with time since fire, with early effects of burn severity shifting to strong effects of lodgepole pine density after two decades of forest recovery (Romme *et al*., 2016). By 24-yr postfire, most understory species were less likely to occur in areas with greater lodgepole pine density (Romme *et al*., 2016), but community variability driven by local soil moisture conditions persisted in areas of low canopy cover 30-yr postfire (Andrade *et al*., 2021).

Plot selection

To compare understory responses to short- vs long-interval fire in space, we sampled communities during summer 2021 across 20 plot pairs in which postfire tree regeneration and fuels were assessed by Braziunas *et al*. (2023). Briefly, sites were selected among recent (1994–2018) fires well-distributed across Greater Yellowstone that burned young (< 30-yr-old) and mature (> 125-yr-old) lodgepole pine-dominated forest at high severity (> 92.5% basal area mortality or 675–2000 RdNBR; Miller & Thode, 2007, Harvey *et al*., 2016a; Fig. 1a). Within each site, 1–2 plot pairs were established, with each pair consisting of one plot in an area burned twice at high severity at < 30-yr FRI and one plot in an area burned at high severity in the same most recent fire but not burned previously for > 125 yr (Fig. 1b). Paired plots were selected for topographic similarity and proximity, with all paired plots separated by < 1200 m. To augment our sample size, data from 11 pairs sampled 12 yr after the 1988 Yellowstone fires

that met our definition of short-interval fire (Schoennagel *et al*., 2004) were included in our analyses. While variability in abiotic conditions (e.g. elevation and aspect) was captured across pairs, we ensured environmental conditions were consistent within pairs using propensity scores accounting for elevation, aspect, and slope during site selection (Butsic *et al*., 2017). Finally, all plots were dominated prefire by lodgepole pine, and postfire lodgepole pine densities were an order of magnitude lower in plots following short-interval fire (Braziunas *et al*., 2023).

To compare understory responses to short- vs long-interval fire in time, we collated plant community composition data from three sources: 12 previously established plots (Turner *et al*., 2019) sampled in 2021, 5 yr after the 2016 Berry Fire in GTNP, which reburned portions of recovering forest from the 2000 Glade Fire, and the 2016 Maple Fire in YNP, which reburned portions of the 1988 North Fork Fire; five plots sampled in 2004, 4 yr after the 2000 Glade Fire (W. H. Romme and M. G. Turner, unpublished data); and eight plots measured in 1993, 5 yr after the 1988 North Fork Fire (Turner *et al*., 1997, Romme *et al*., 2016; Supporting Information Table S1). Plots measured in 2021 were placed within areas reburned at high-severity fire on flat slopes with minimal aspect (Turner *et al*., 2019), four of which were also used in our paired design above. Plots measured in 2004 were established in 2001 in areas of stand-replacing fire as part of a previous study (Turner *et al*., 2007). Forests were *c*. 120 or 150 yr old when burned. Understory plant community composition was sampled annually (2001–2004) using the protocols described below, but only data from 4 yr postfire were used here. Plot selection for plots sampled in 1993 is described in Turner *et al*. (1997). Briefly, nine variably sized patches of stand-replacing fire were selected from throughout the burned area, within which four transects oriented in subcardinal directions were established. For the purposes of this

Fig. 1 Study area for assessing the effects of anomalously short fire-return intervals (FRI) on understory plant communities in Greater Yellowstone (gray). (a) Distribution of plots (black points; $n = 62$) across short-interval (< 30-yr) and long-interval (> 125-yr) fires in and around Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks (green). Light red areas are always the first fire in a given fire sequence; cinnabar areas may be the first or second fire in a given fire sequence, depending on the fire combinations; dark red areas are areas of short-interval fire, or overlap between successive fires occurring at < 30-yr FRI. (b) Zoomed section of overlapping fires showing pairing. Perimeters for fires predating satellite-derived severity imagery are not included. ID, Idaho; MT, Montana; WY, Wyoming.

analysis, we included community composition data from only the small and large patches at Cougar Creek which are located near or within our plots established following the Maple Fire. Because of differences in study design, we treated each transect in each patch as a plot ($n = 8$) and removed quadrats at the base of each transect near patch center given their proximity to other transects.

Field data collection

With one exception (see below), plant communities were sampled in 0.25-ha plots as described by Romme *et al.* (2016). Percent cover was recorded by species in 25 0.25-m² quadrats placed at 5-m intervals along each of three parallel 50-m transects separated by 24 m. A 20 min whole-plot sweep was conducted to detect any species present in the plot not already found in quadrats and determine whole-plot species richness. Voucher specimens were collected for any individual for which species identity could not be determined in the field. Species richness for plots measured in 2004 was determined from quadrats because whole-plot richness was unavailable. While quadrats only cover 6.25 m² of each 2500-m² plot, previous community sampling in the same general locations found *c.* 95% of species tallied in the plot sweep were also found in quadrats (Romme *et al.*, 2016), so richness

may be comparable to that from whole-plot sweeps. Importantly, methods for obtaining richness were always the same within plot pairs for comparisons across space. Elevation, aspect, slope, and distance to unburned live forest edge were recorded from the center of each plot. Live forest edge beyond the range of the laser rangefinder was later estimated with aerial imagery and burn severity perimeters with ArcGIS Desktop 10.6.

For plots sampled in 1993, plant communities were sampled in eight 0.25-m² point-intercept frames (Floyd & Anderson, 1982, 1987) at 1-m intervals along an 8-m subtransect, with each subtransect spaced at either 20-m (small patch) or 100-m (large patch) intervals perpendicular to each main transect (Turner *et al.*, 1997).

Question 1: Plant community variability following short- vs long-interval fire

The effects of short- vs long-interval fire on plant communities were assessed using two approaches: (1) across the paired plots ($n = 31$) of long and short FRI; and (2) between plots that were sampled 4 to 5 yr postfire following the previous long-interval fire (2000 Glade or 1988 North Fork fire) and the recent short-interval fire (the 2016 Maple or Berry fire).

Within our paired plots, we identified species unique to either short- or long-interval plots and assessed differences in richness, Simpson's diversity and evenness, total understory cover, and percent cover of individual species. Species were further categorized based on growth form (shrub, graminoid, and forb), lifespan (annual, biennial, and perennial), and native status to determine differences in cover among these groups. We next created a series of abundance-weighted indices to assess community-level responses to short-interval fires. Each species was assigned a drought and shade tolerance value (USDA Plants Database), a minimum and maximum vegetation zone representing its distribution in the Rocky Mountains (0 = plains, 1 = foothills, 2 = lower montane, 3 = subalpine, 4 = alpine; Kershaw *et al.*, 2016), and a thermal and moisture preference value. Each species' thermal and moisture preference was calculated using 30-yr (1989–2018) normal maximum temperature (°C) and annual precipitation (mm) from 4-km resolution TerraClimate data (Abatzoglou *et al.*, 2018) and averaged across that species' range. Ranges were derived from Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) occurrence data, with duplicate occurrences and occurrences for which uncertainty was > 5 km removed. Evidence for community thermophilization would include lower community-weighted shade tolerance, vegetation zone, and moisture preference, and/or higher drought tolerance and thermal preference. Community-weighted drought and shade tolerance were only calculated using those species for which values were available, consisting of $80.2 \pm 0.02\%$ and $80.8 \pm 0.02\%$ of total community cover, respectively. The above indices provide complementary approaches to assessing community change and were chosen to capture a suite of potential shifts in composition following short-interval fire. Paired *t*-tests were used to test for differences in community-weighted shade and drought tolerance, thermal and moisture indices, and vegetation zones between plot pairs ($n = 31$).

We next used nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) to determine whether plant communities following recent (2016) short-interval fires differ from those in the same general locations following the previous (1988 or 2000) long-interval fire. Given we were not interested in testing for potential environmental drivers, NMDS is appropriate for assessing community variability in ordination space. We removed all species present in < 5% of plots to reduce the influence of rare species and then used species presence as the response. Potential shifts in plant community composition from those following the first long-interval fire to those following the second short-interval fire were assessed visually after NMDS in the VEGAN package (Oksanen *et al.*, 2020). We then used Jaccard's dissimilarity index (0 = identical plant communities; 1 = mutually exclusive plant communities) calculated using species presence to determine whether plant communities following the previous long-interval fire from two regions of Greater Yellowstone (YNP near West Yellowstone, MT, and GTNP near Moran, WY) became more similar following recent short-interval fire.

Question 2: Drivers of dissimilarity across paired short- and long-interval fire

We used multiple regression to identify drivers of community dissimilarity between paired plots following short- and long-interval fire. In lieu of model selection, candidate predictors were selected from a suite of topographic, biotic, and climate variables based on *a priori* hypotheses of effects on plant community dissimilarity (Table 1). Our response variable was the Jaccard's dissimilarity for each plot pair ($n = 31$). We considered abundance-based dissimilarity (i.e. Bray–Curtis) as well, but less variation in dissimilarity across pairs was explained (Fig. S1). Climate variables were retrieved from TERRACLIMATE (Abatzoglou *et al.*, 2018) and were included to capture effects of both ambient climate conditions and climate during early postfire recovery on community dissimilarity. While 3-yr postfire summer water deficit was originally considered, it was removed given its collinearity with other predictors and variance inflation factor > 4. Lodgepole pine density was log-transformed before analysis to satisfy assumptions of normality. Predictors were *z*-transformed (mean = 0, SD = 1) before analysis to allow comparison of model coefficients. Model residuals did not exhibit heteroscedasticity or serial autocorrelation (Durbin-Watson test statistic = 2.07, $P = 0.82$), and a quantile-quantile plot revealed residuals to be normally distributed.

Question 3: Drivers of postfire species' distributions and community composition

We used a multilevel modeling (MLM; Gelman & Hill, 2007) framework to test for differences in plant community composition across plots following both short- and long-interval fire ($n = 62$). MLMs provide powerful inference on individual species distributions and whole community composition by extracting the effects of environmental variables on each species and groups of species simultaneously (Jackson *et al.*, 2012). With species presence as the response, fixed effects for each predictor in a MLM can be interpreted as that factor's effect on all species' presence (i.e. understory community richness), whereas the random effect for each species-by-predictor combination represents that species' response to a given predictor after accounting for its fixed effect. The sum of each predictor's fixed effect with each species' random effect thus yields the overall effect of that predictor on that species. This framework has previously been used to determine drivers of variability in plant community composition following the 1988 fires (Romme *et al.*, 2016) and has proven informative across taxa and study systems (Jackson *et al.*, 2014; Bartrons *et al.*, 2015; Barker *et al.*, 2018; Paulson *et al.*, 2021).

The MLM was run for those taxa present in ≥ 5% of plots and identified at least to genus ($n = 80$), with taxon presence as the response. We included the ambient climate variables used in the above multiple regression (Question 2); lodgepole pine density (stems ha^{-1}), distance to road or trail (m), topographic moisture index (i.e. northeasterliness, or cosine-transformed aspect as a measure of site aridity; Beers *et al.*, 1966), and slope (degrees) to capture site characteristics; and time since fire and fire interval (short or long) to capture fire history characteristics. Predictors were *z*-transformed (mean = 0, SD = 1) before modeling. The MLM was fit using the glmer function in the LME4 package (Bates *et al.*, 2015) with a binomial family and logit link. Significance of each random effect was tested for using likelihood-ratio tests, and variance explained by the model (marginal R^2 for fixed effects and conditional R^2 for both fixed and random effects) was determined with the theoretical method for binomial distributions using the r.squaredGLMM function in the MUMIN package (Barton, 2020). Predictors were not highly correlated (variance inflation factor < 4). All statistical analyses and data visualization were conducted in R v.3.6.3 (R Core Team, 2020).

Results

Plant community variability following short vs long-interval fire

We identified 168 species across all plot pairs ($n = 31$; Table S2). Richness was relatively high (*c.* 35 species per 0.25-ha plot), but, along with cover, diversity, and evenness, did not differ between plot pairs (Table 2). Among species, 29 were recorded only following short-interval fire (Table S3), and 22 only following long-interval fire (Table S4). Of these, the graminoids, annuals, and biennials that were unique to either fire-interval class were found only following short-interval fire (Fig. S2). Six of seven non-native species unique to either fire-interval class were found only following short-interval fire (Fig. S2), but none were new to the regional flora (Table S3). Among the most abundant species (total relative abundance > 0.7), five were more abundant following short-interval fire, including four forbs, two annuals, and one symbiotic nitrogen fixer (Table 3). The relative abundance of annuals increased while the relative abundance of perennials decreased following short-interval fire (Fig. S3), but no differences in the percent cover of graminoids, forbs, or relative abundance of shrubs were found (Fig. S4).

Table 2 Average (±SE) richness, understory cover, diversity, and evenness of paired plant communities following short- vs long-interval fire in Greater Yellowstone ($n = 31$ plot pairs).

Index	Short-interval	Long-interval	t	P-value
Richness	36.6 ± 1.7	35.3 ± 2.3	-0.65	0.52
Understory cover (%)	32.7 ± 3.4	28.2 ± 2.9	-1.85	0.07
Simpson's Diversity	0.8 ± 0.01	0.8 ± 0.02	-0.77	0.45
Simpson's Evenness	0.2 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.01	0.39	0.70

Table 3 Percent cover of the most abundant understory species in paired communities following short- vs long-interval fire in Greater Yellowstone.

	Cover (%)			
Species	Short-interval	Long-interval	t	P
Forb				
Achillea millefolium	**0.31**	**0.16**	-2.0	**0.050**
Agoseris aurantiaca	0.05	0.02	-2.0	0.060
Antennaria microphylla	0.20	0.09	-1.9	0.067
Arnica cordifolia	0.31	0.67	2.0	0.057
Campanula rotundifolia	0.17	0.03	-1.9	0.064
Cirsium arvense	0.18	0.16	-0.3	0.767
Collomia linearis	**0.12**	**0.05**	-2.1	**0.046**
Chamaenerion angustifolium	2.01	2.25	0.6	0.544
Eurybia merita	0.77	1.36	1.3	0.215
Gayophytum diffusum	**0.16**	**0.03**	-2.9	**0.007**
Hieracium albiflorum	0.13	0.31	1.9	0.065
Lupinus argenteus	**2.37**	**1.22**	-2.1	**0.043**
Taraxacum officinale	0.61	0.44	-1.6	0.128
Graminoid				
Agrostis scabra	0.35	0.08	-1.3	0.211
Carex geyeri	**5.76**	**3.54**	-2.1	**0.048**
Carex rossii	2.19	2.18	0.0	0.998
Calamagrostis rubescens	3.55	3.36	-0.2	0.862
Shrub				
Ceanothus velutinus	1.29	0.01	-1.0	0.325
Mahonia repens	0.08	0.40	1.9	0.070
Spiraea betulifolia	2.63	2.78	0.2	0.854
Vaccinium scoparium	1.59	2.26	0.8	0.421

All five species that differed in cover with fire interval were more abundant following short-interval fire. Relative abundance of listed species in short-interval = 71.1%; long-interval = 77.5%. Bolded P-values are significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ following paired t-tests.

The community-level minimum vegetation zone was lower following short-interval fire and the range of vegetation zones (i.e. the difference between each species minimum and maximum vegetation zone) was greater (Fig. 2a), indicating a greater abundance of more widely distributed species capable of growing at lower elevations. Community moisture index and shade tolerance were lower following short-interval fire, but community thermal index and drought tolerance did not differ with fire interval (Fig. 2b–d).

Plant communities following recent (2016) short-interval fire overlapped little in ordination space with communities in the same general area following the previous long-interval fire (Fig. 3). Further, the regional separation of communities

following the previous long-interval fire was reduced when those communities reburned at short FRI, particularly along NMDS axis 1. Indeed, mean dissimilarity (±SE) between plots from two regions of Greater Yellowstone decreased slightly from 0.77 (±0.01) following the previous long-interval fire to 0.73 (±0.01) following the recent short-interval fire despite comparable time since fire. However, dissimilarity among communities following the same short-interval fire increased from 0.49 (±0.02) following the previous long-interval fire to 0.63 (±0.02) following the recent short-interval fire, indicating this response is scale dependent.

Drivers of dissimilarity across paired short- and long-interval fire

Paired understory plant communities following short- vs long-interval fire exhibited considerable dissimilarity (Jaccard's $= 0.77 \pm 0.02$) and selected predictor variables explained more than one-third of the variation in dissimilarity across communities ($R^2_{adj} = 0.39$). Paired communities were more similar with greater time since fire and 3-yr postfire winter snow water equivalent and less similar with greater 30-yr normal winter snow water equivalent (Fig. 4). The climate variables had roughly twice as strong an effect on community dissimilarity than time since fire.

Drivers of postfire species' distributions and community composition

The variables included in our MLM explained more than half of the variation in species distributions among plots ($n = 62$; conditional $R^2 = 0.53$), but very little of this was via the fixed effects (i.e. on community richness; marginal $R^2 = 0.03$). At the community level, any species was more likely to occur (i.e. richness was greater) in plots with lower lodgepole pine density, on southwesterly aspects (i.e. TMI approaching 0), with longer time since fire, and greater 30-yr winter snow water equivalent (i.e. greater average winter snowfall; Table 4). Distance to road or trail, fire interval, slope, and 30-yr summer water deficit were not important as fixed effects.

At the species level, all predictors except fire interval were important (fixed plus random effect $\geq |0.20|$) in explaining the presence of at least one taxon ($n = 80$), with directions of effect varying among species (Table S5). Thirty-year summer water deficit and lodgepole pine density were the most important predictors for the most taxa (32.5% of taxa each), followed by 30-yr winter snow water equivalent (18.8%) and time since fire (16.3%), with distance to road or trail and slope the most important for only one taxon each. Two-thirds (36) of taxa responding to 30-yr summer water deficit were more likely in areas of greater summer aridity, but 7 of 10 graminoids showed the opposite trend (Table S5). Most (44 of 51) taxa responding to lodgepole pine density were less likely in areas of higher density; the seven taxa that were more likely to occur with increasing lodgepole pine density included three shrubs (*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*, *Salix scouleriana*, and *Vaccinium scoparium*) and *Hieracium albiflorum*,

Fig. 2 Differences in abundance-weighted community indices between paired plots following short- and long-interval fire in Greater Yellowstone ($n = 31$). *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ (following paired t-tests). Vertical black bars represent 95% confidence intervals. (a) Average, minimum, maximum, and range of vegetation zones (defined as the difference between each species' minimum and maximum range). The relative abundance of species with lower minimum vegetation zones and a greater range of vegetation zones increases following short-interval fire. (b) Community thermal index and (c) community moisture index. The relative abundance of species capable of growing in lower moisture conditions increases following short-interval fire. (d) Community drought and shade tolerance of species (data from USDA Plants Database; species weighted against total cover only of species for which drought and shade tolerance values were available). Communities following short-interval fire are made up of relatively more shade-intolerant species.

one of the more abundant forbs following long-interval fire. Of the 60 taxa responding to 30-yr winter snow water equivalent, all but two shrubs, *Rosa woodsii* and *Symphoricarpos albus*, were more likely to occur in areas of greater average winter snowfall. Ten of 57 taxa were more likely to occur with shorter time since fire, including three graminoids (*Carex rossii*, *Elymus trachycaulus*, and *Stipa* sp.), two shrubs (*Ribes viscosissimum* and *Spiraea betulifolia*), and two annuals (*Gnaphalium palustre* and *Pseudognaphalium macounii*). Non-native species (*Cirsium arvense*, *Phleum pratense*, *Taraxacum officinale*, and *Tragopogon dubius*) generally occurred in areas of greater average winter snowfall, lower lodgepole pine density, and after longer time since fire. Though only important for fewer than 10 taxa each, distance to road or trail always increased likelihood of occurrence, whereas northeasterliness always decreased likelihood of occurrence. Finally, 75% (21) of taxa responding to slope were more likely on steeper slopes.

Discussion

Our study contributes new understanding of how anomalous short-interval, stand-replacing fires affect subalpine forest understory plant communities. Understory communities following short-interval fire were increasingly composed of annuals, shade-intolerant species, and species capable of persisting at lower elevations and with reduced moisture availability. However, understory percent cover and diversity did not differ with fire interval, suggesting some resilience of understory communities to

more frequent fires. We found changing FRI affected the understory via reduced postfire tree densities (which are a direct result of short-interval fire; Braziunas *et al.*, 2023), indicating changes in postfire forest structure that result from anomalously short-interval fire cascade to the understory (Fig. 5). Short-interval fire also eroded regional differences in understory communities, as distinct communities following the previous long-interval fire became more similar following the recent short-interval fire despite comparable time since fire. However, dissimilarity among communities following the same short-interval fire increased, suggesting understory change following short-interval fire remains scale and context dependent. Differences between paired plots burned at short vs long FRI were greater in areas of greater average (30 yr) snowfall but attenuated with time since fire and greater postfire snowfall, implicating the timing and availability of moisture in the magnitude of community differences following short-interval fire. Finally, average winter snowfall and summer aridity had both positive and negative effects on the presence of individual species, suggesting complex changes in understory community composition as climate continues to change across western North America.

Short-interval fire alters plant community composition in space and time

Reduced community moisture index and lower community shade tolerance following short-interval fire suggest differences in community composition expected with warmer, drier soils (Hoecker

Fig. 3 Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination of plant communities in either Grand Teton (green triangles) or Yellowstone National Park (blue circles) and burned in either the original long-interval fire (darker shade) or the recent short-interval reburn of the original long-interval fire (lighter shade). BerryGlade_Short, plant communities 5 yr after the Berry Fire reburn of the Glade Fire at short-interval; Glade_Long, plant communities 4 yr after the long-interval Glade Fire; MapleNF_Short, plant communities 5 yr after the Maple Fire reburn of the North Fork (NF) Fire at short-interval; NF_Long, plant communities 5 yr after the long-interval NF Fire. Communities closer to one another in ordination space are more similar.

Fig. 4 Standardized coefficient estimates following multiple regression of Jaccard's dissimilarity between paired plots following short- and long-interval fire in Greater Yellowstone ($n = 31$). Community dissimilarity increased with greater 30-yr snow water equivalent and decreased with greater 3-yr postfire snow water equivalent and longer time since fire. Horizontal black bars represent 95% confidence intervals. $R^2_{adj} = 0.39$.

Table 4 Coefficients of fixed and random effects in the multilevel model for plant species present in at least 5% of 62 plots across short- and long-interval reburns in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem.

Coefficient	Effect	
	Fixed	Random
Intercept	−1.83***	2.39***
30-yr normal snow water equivalent	0.32***	0.14**
30-yr normal water deficit	0.10	0.36***
Lodgepole pine density	−0.28***	0.22***
Distance to road or trail	0.10	0.03
Topographic moisture index	−0.10*	0.03
Slope	0.07	0.11***
Time since fire	0.22**	0.22***
Fire interval	−0.002	0.001

Positive fixed effects are interpreted as community richness increasing with that variable; negative as community richness decreasing with that variable. Significant random effects indicate species-specific responses to that variable. *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$.

et al., 2020) and increased sunlight via a 10-fold reduction in postfire lodgepole pine densities (Turner et al., 2019; Braziunas et al., 2023). These shifts are consistent with expectations of community thermophilization, a process increasingly observed following natural (de Frenne et al., 2013; Stevens et al., 2015, 2019; Zellweger et al., 2020; Christiansen et al., 2022) and manipulated (de Frenne et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018; Govaert et al., 2021) changes in temperature and moisture conditions that can persist even after canopy closure (Dietz et al., 2020). Short-interval fire also triggered increasing abundance of species capable of growing in lower (and potentially warmer and drier) vegetation zones. Upslope migration of various taxa with climate change has occurred in many mountainous regions globally (Kelly & Goulden, 2008; Feeley et al., 2011; Vitasse et al., 2021) and may be necessary for population persistence (Anderson & Wadgymar, 2019). Plant populations in communities following historical FRIs may not keep pace with climate warming, accruing greater climatic debt relative to those following anomalously short FRIs (Richard et al., 2021).

Short-interval fire also altered plant communities in time. At the regional scale, understory plant community dissimilarity was c. 5% lower following two recent short-interval fires than among

those following the previous long-interval fires. However, at local scales (i.e. within a single short-interval fire), dissimilarity was c. 30% greater, highlighting the potential influence of fine-scale drivers on postfire composition. Many drivers of decreased community dissimilarity (i.e. homogenization) have previously been identified, including historical land use (Velland et al., 2007), non-native species introductions (Qian & Ricklefs, 2006), silvicultural treatments (Macdonald & Fenniak, 2007; Jaeger et al., 2022), and fire severity (Weeks et al., 2023). Repeat short-interval fires (i.e. 'triple burns') observed elsewhere (Fairman et al., 2017; Agne et al., 2022) are not yet prevalent in Greater Yellowstone, so whether continued deviations from historical fire

Fig. 5 Conceptualization of key effects of anomalously short fire-return intervals (FRIs) on understory plant communities in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem. (a) Several key environmental conditions vary between areas burned at either short or long FRI that drive changes in community composition, including lower postfire tree regeneration (Turner *et al.*, 2019; Braziunas *et al.*, 2023) and warmer, drier soils (Hoecker *et al.*, 2020). (b) Example quadrats illustrate primary changes to community composition, including the presence of species unique following each short- and long-interval fire and a greater abundance of shade-intolerant species, species adapted to lower moisture availability, and species capable of persisting in lower vegetation zones following short-interval fire. However, community cover and diversity did not differ, and several common species were present in each interval class. (c) Compositional shifts in communities between paired short- and long-interval fires are context dependent, with greater dissimilarity in historically mesic locations and lower dissimilarity with greater postfire snowfall and longer time since fire.

regimes fully homogenize plant communities despite the importance of site-specific environmental conditions (see below) remains to be seen. With 28–59% of currently forested area in Greater Yellowstone vulnerable to regeneration failure by 2100 (Rammer *et al.*, 2021), any change is likely to be widespread.

Despite shifts in composition, other aspects of plant communities following short-interval fire did not differ greatly from those following historical FRIs, indicating potential resilience of the understory. Though percent cover of annuals was low, their relative abundance increased approximately threefold following short FRIs, consistent with ruderal species benefitting from more frequent disturbance (Grime, 1977). However, unlike past studies (Schoennagel *et al.*, 2004; Fairman *et al.*, 2017; Reilly *et al.*, 2020), differences in percent cover and relative abundance of shrubs, graminoids, and non-native species were slight or nonexistent, and biotic cover did not decrease following short FRIs as in western North American boreal forests (Whitman *et al.*, 2019). Many perennials in Greater Yellowstone resprout postfire (Anderson & Romme, 1991), a common fire-adapted trait across woody and herbaceous taxa (Clarke *et al.*, 2013), and plant communities are largely resistant to invasion by non-natives (Wright & Tinker, 2012; Romme *et al.*, 2016). These

mechanisms conferring resilience remained intact following short-interval fires both in our system and in Pacific Northwestern forests adapted to a mixed-severity fire regime (Donato *et al.*, 2009).

Effect of short-interval fire on plant communities depends on environmental conditions

Understory community dissimilarity between plot pairs varied across the landscape, indicating susceptibility of communities to change following short-interval fire is context dependent. Counter to expectations, dissimilarity increased in areas of greater average snowfall where spring and early summer moisture availability is likely greater. Such mesic conditions contributed to shorter fire seasons and longer FRIs historically (Schoennagel *et al.*, 2003), making short FRIs a relative anomaly compared with drier areas. Forest loss in relatively mesic areas following short-interval fire may expose plants to arid conditions to which they are unaccustomed, whereas communities in areas of lower average snowfall may already resemble those following short-interval fire. Community differences following short-interval fire were buffered by greater postfire winter snowfall, however, likely via increased

moisture availability in spring and early summer. Mean annual snowfall in Greater Yellowstone has already declined 8.9 cm decade^{-1} since 1950 (Hostetler *et al.*, 2021), a trend expected to continue (Barnett *et al.*, 2005; Lute *et al.*, 2015; Gergel *et al.*, 2017). Paired plots also became more similar with longer time since fire, but shortened future fire rotations (Westerling *et al.*, 2011) would leave less time for community convergence before subsequent reburns. Together, these findings suggest a somewhat counter-intuitive result – plant communities in relatively mesic locations are most vulnerable to change, especially because those mesic conditions that buffered community change may become less prevalent by centuries' end.

Climate, site, and fire characteristics influence understory species' distributions

Understory richness and individual species' presence were influenced by climate, site, and fire characteristics following short- and long-interval fires. The presence of all species increased with time since fire and in areas of greater average winter snowfall but decreased with lodgepole pine density and on northeasterly aspects. Community richness 24 yr after the 1988 Yellowstone fires similarly increased with time since fire and decreased with lodgepole pine densities (Romme *et al.*, 2016), but decreased with postfire mean annual precipitation. The lack of a direct effect of fire interval on species presence revealed that species' distributions were driven by other factors associated with FRI, especially postfire tree density, which was an order of magnitude lower following short- vs long-interval fire (Braziunas *et al.*, 2023) and influences competition for light, water, and nutrients. Observed (Turner *et al.*, 2019; Braziunas *et al.*, 2023) and predicted (Hansen *et al.*, 2020; Rammer *et al.*, 2021; Turner *et al.*, 2022) forest loss in the region with shorter FRIs may increase understory community richness at the expense of species that benefit from greater lodgepole pine density, provided climate conditions remain suitable.

Species-specific responses illustrate potential shifts in the structure and function of understory communities with changing climate and fire (Table S5). *Vaccinium scoparium*, a widely distributed shrub, was more likely to occur in areas of greater average snowfall, at greater lodgepole pine density, and with longer time since fire, all conditions likely to become less common through the end of this century. Conversely, *Carex geyeri*, the most abundant understory species across plots (Table 3), was more likely to occur in areas of greater average summer water deficit and at lower lodgepole pine density, indicating it may benefit from continued changes to climate and fire regimes. Regarding function, *Lupinus argenteus*, the primary nitrogen-fixing plant species in the region, became more abundant following short-interval fire and was more likely to occur in areas of greater average summer aridity. *Ceanothus velutinus*, a facultative nitrogen fixer in lower montane areas, was more likely to occur at lower lodgepole pine density. As nitrogen-fixing plants are more abundant in high-light environments given energetic costs of dinitrogen fixation (Vitousek *et al.*, 2002; Houlton *et al.*, 2008; Taylor & Menge, 2018), conditions following short-interval fire appear suitable. However, *Lupinus* and *Ceanothus* cover is patchy and

low at the landscape level, so consequences for nitrogen fixation remain uncertain. Many graminoids may also benefit from more frequent fire, as each of the six graminoid species responding to lodgepole pine density was more likely to occur with lower stem densities. Graminoids and other understory herbs may become primary sources of fuel where trees are sparse or absent given their high surface area to volume ratio and low bulk density (Brown, 1970; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2012). Though overall graminoid cover was only slightly higher following short-interval fire ($P = 0.064$), three graminoids (*Carex geyeri*, *Carex rossii*, and *Calamagrostis rubescens*) comprised nearly one-third of understory cover, suggesting even slight changes in abundance could influence the amount and continuity of fine fuels (Mitchell *et al.*, 2006) and thus future surface fires.

Considerations and conclusions

Several limitations to our study bear consideration. While our paired study design improves our ability to draw inferences, we cannot draw causal links between environmental drivers and community responses without manipulative experiments. Further, site selection was constrained by the availability and accessibility of short- and long-interval fires proximal to one another and with comparable abiotic conditions. However, while the occurrence and distribution of anomalously short-interval fire is driven by several self-regulating factors, these effects often diminish within two decades following the first fire (Harvey *et al.*, 2016a), and extreme fire weather conditions often override fuels limitation in subalpine forests (Turner & Romme, 1994). Thus, we expect the potential for prefire conditions to confound our results to be small.

Our study revealed that postfire understory plant communities adapted to infrequent, stand-replacing fires shifted following anomalously short FRIs, with understory community reassembly driven by restructuring of the forest overstory (Seidl & Turner, 2022). Our results highlight the importance of assessing the whole plant community. Changes in the relative abundance of understory taxa may be early indicators of ecosystems adapting to a changing environment while aggregate metrics such as diversity and cover may miss shifts in species assemblages. Continued changes to climate and disturbance regimes are increasingly likely through the end of this century, and land managers are expected to make decisions on whether to resist, accept, or direct change (Millar *et al.*, 2007; Schuurman *et al.*, 2022). Contemporary understory plant communities following short-interval fire may illustrate the future of subalpine forest plant communities should managers choose to accept fire- and climate-driven forest change. With ongoing disturbance-driven changes to ecosystems worldwide, elucidating postdisturbance community dynamics will prove crucial as a baseline against which alternative recovery pathways and management scenarios may be compared.

Acknowledgements

Competing interests

None declared.

Author contributions

KHB and MGT designed the study; NGK, KHB, and MGT collected data; NGK analyzed data; NGK and MGT wrote the paper with contributions from KHB.

ORCID

Kristin H. Braziunas https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5350-8463
Nathan G. Kiel https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9623-9785
Monica G. Turner https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1903-2822

Data availability

Data have been deposited to the Environmental Data Initiative (EDI) repository: https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/d06aeedf63f5e0cf71669679ffd5df01.

References

Abatzoglou JT, Dobrowski SZ, Parks SA, Hegewisch KC. 2018. Terraclimate, a high-resolution global dataset of monthly climate and climatic water balance from 1958–2015. *Scientific Data* **5**: 170191.

Abatzoglou JT, Williams AP. 2016. Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **113**: 11770–11775.

Agee JK. 1993. *Fire ecology of Pacific Northwest forests*. Washington, DC, USA: Island Press.

Agne MC, Fontaine JB, Enright NJ, Harvey BJ. 2022. Fire interval and post-fire climate effects on serotinous forest resilience. *Fire Ecology* **18**: 1–20.

Alizadeh MR, Abatzoglou JT, Luce CH, Adamowski JF, Farid A, Sadegh M. 2021. Warming enabled upslope advance in western US forest fires. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **118**: e2009717118.

Anderson JE, Romme WH. 1991. Initial floristics in lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) forests following the 1988 Yellowstone fires. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **1**: 119–124.

Anderson JT, Wadgymar SM. 2019. Climate change disrupts local adaptation and favours upslope migration. *Ecology Letters* **23**: 181–192.

Andrade AJ, Tomback DF, Seastedt TR, Mellmann-Brown S. 2021. Soil moisture regime and canopy closure structure subalpine understory development during the first three decades following fire. *Forest Ecology and Management* **483**: 118783.

Baltzer JL, Day NJ, Walker XJ, Greene D, Mack MC, Alexander HD, Arseneault D, Barnes J, Bergeron Y, Boucher Y et al. 2021. Increasing fire and the decline of fire adapted black spruce in the boreal forest. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **118**: e2024872118.

Banks SC, Cary GJ, Smith AL, Davies ID, Driscoll DA, Gill AM, Lindenmayer DB, Peakall R. 2013. How does ecological disturbance influence genetic diversity? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **28**: 670–679.

Barbero R, Abatzoglou JT, Larkin NK, Kolden CA, Stocks B. 2015. Climate change presents increased potential for very large fires in the contiguous United States. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **24**: 892–899.

Barker HL, Holeski LM, Lindroth RL. 2018. Genotypic variation in plant traits shapes herbivorous insect and ant communities on a foundation tree species. *PLoS ONE* **13**: e0200954.

Barnett TP, Adam JC, Lettenmaier DP. 2005. Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions. *Nature* **438**: 303–309.

Barton K. 2020. MUMIN: *multi-model inference*. R package v.1.43.17. [WWW document] URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn [accessed 20 February 2023].

Bartrons M, Einarsson A, Nobre RLG, Herren CM, Webert KC, Brucet S, Ólafsdóttir SR, Ives AR. 2015. Spatial patterns reveal strong abiotic and biotic drivers of zooplankton community composition in Lake Mývatn, Iceland. *Ecosphere* **6**: 105.

Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using LME4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**: 1–48.

Beers TW, Dress PE, Wensel LC. 1966. Notes and observations: aspect transformation in site productivity research. *Journal of Forestry* **64**: 691–692.

Belote RT, Sanders NJ, Jones RH. 2009. Disturbance alters local-regional richness relationships in Appalachian forests. *Ecology* **90**: 2940–2947.

Bowman DMJS, Kolden CA, Abatzoglou JT, Johnson FH, van der Werf GR, Flannigan M. 2020. Vegetation fires in the Anthropocene. *Nature Reviews Earth and Environment* **1**: 500–515.

Braziunas KH, Kiel NG, Turner MG. 2023. Less fuel for the next fire? Warmer-drier climate amplifies effects of short-interval fire on forest recovery. *Ecology.* doi: 10.1002/ecy.4042.

Brown JK. 1970. Ratios of surface area to volume for common fine fuels. *Forest Science* **16**: 101–105.

Busby SU, Moffett KB, Holz A. 2020. High-severity and short-interval wildfires limit forest recovery in the Central Cascade Range. *Ecosphere* **11**: e03247.

Butsic V, Lewis DJ, Radeloff VC, Baumann M, Kuemmerle T. 2017. Quasi-experimental methods enable stronger inferences from observational data in ecology. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **19**: 1–10.

Christiansen DM, Iversen LL, Ehrlen J, Hylander K. 2022. Changes in forest structure drive temperature preferences of boreal understorey plant communities. *Journal of Ecology* **110**: 631–643.

Clarke PJ, Lawes MJ, Midgley JJ, Lamont BB, Ojeda F, Burrows GE, Enright NJ, Knox KJE. 2013. Resprouting as a key functional trait: how buds, protection and resources drive persistence after fire. *New Phytologist* **197**: 19–35.

Coop JD, Parks SA, Stevens-Rumann CS, Crausbay SD, Higuera PE, Hurteau MD, Tepley A, Whitman E, Assal T, Collins BM et al. 2020. Wildfire-driven forest conversion in western North American landscapes. *Bioscience* **70**: 659–673.

Daufresen M, Boët P. 2007. Climate change impacts on structure and diversity of fish communities in rivers. *Global Change Biology* **13**: 2467–2478.

Davis KT, Dobrowski SZ, Holden ZA, Higuera PE, Abatzoglou JT. 2019. Microclimate buffering in forests of the future: the role of local water balance. *Ecography* **42**: 1–11.

Dennison PE, Brewer SC, Arnold JD, Moritz MA. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. *Geophysical Research Letters* 41: 2928–2933.

Despain DG. 1990. *Yellowstone vegetation: consequences of environment and history in a natural setting*. Boulder, CO, USA: Roberts Rinehart, 239 p.

Dietz L, Collet C, Dupouey J, Lacombe E, Laurent L, Gegout J. 2020. Windstorm-induced canopy openings accelerate temperate forest adaptation to global warming. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 29: 2067–2077.

Donato DC, Fontaine JB, Robinson WD, Kauffman JB, Law BE. 2009. Vegetation response to a short interval between high-severity wildfires in a mixed-evergreen forest. *Journal of Ecology* 97: 142–154.

Donato DC, Harvey BJ, Turner MG. 2016. Regeneration of montane forests 24 years after the 1988 Yellowstone fires: a fire-catalyzed shift in lower treelines? *Ecosphere* 7: e01410.

Fairman TA, Bennett LT, Tupper S, Nitschke CR. 2017. Frequent wildfires erode tree persistence and alter stand structure and initial composition of a fire-tolerant sub-alpine forest. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 28: 1151–1165.

Feeley KJ, Silman MR, Bush MB, Farfan W, Cabrera KG, Malhi Y, Meir P, Revilla NS, Quisiyupanqui MNR, Saatchi S. 2011. Upslope migration of Andean trees. *Journal of Biogeography* 38: 783–791.

Floyd DA, Anderson JE. 1982. A new point interception frame for estimating cover of vegetation. *Vegetatio* 50: 185–186.

Floyd DA, Anderson JE. 1987. A comparison of three methods for estimating plant cover. *Journal of Ecology* 75: 221–228.

de Frenne P, Rodriguez-Sanchez F, Coomes DA, Baeten L, Verstraeten G, Vellend M, Bernhardt-Romermann M, Brown CD, Brunet J, Cornelis J *et al.* 2013. Microclimate moderates plant responses to macroclimate warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 110: 18561–18565.

de Frenne P, Rodriguez-Sanchez F, de Schrijver A, Coomes DA, Hermy M, Vangansbeke P, Verheyen K. 2015. Light accelerates plant responses to warming. *Nature Plants* 1: 15110.

de Frenne P, Zellweger F, Rodriguez-Sanchez F, Scheffers BR, Hylander K, Luoto M, Velland M, Verheyen K, Lenoir J. 2019. Global buffering of temperatures under forest canopies. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 3: 744–749.

Gelman A, Hill J. 2007. *Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models*. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.

Gergel DR, Nijssen B, Abatzoglou JT, Lettenmaier DP, Stumbaugh MR. 2017. Effects of climate change on snowpack and fire potential in the western USA. *Climate Change* 141: 287–299.

Gill NS, Hoecker TJ, Turner MG. 2021. The propagule doesn't fall far from the tree, especially after short-interval, high-severity fire. *Ecology* 102: e03194.

Gilliam FS. 2007. The ecological significance of the herbaceous layer in temperate forest ecosystems. *Bioscience* 57: 845–858.

Gottfried M, Pauli H, Futschik A, Akhalkatsi M, Barancok P, Alonso JLB, Coldea G, Dick J, Erschbamer B, Calzado MRF *et al.* 2012. Continent-wide response of mountain vegetation to climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 2: 111–115.

Govaert S, Vangansbeke P, Blondeel H, Steppe K, Verheyen K, de Frenne P. 2021. Rapid thermophilization of understorey plant communities in a 9 year-long temperate forest experiment. *Journal of Ecology* 109: 2434–2447.

Grime JP. 1977. Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. *The American Naturalist* 111: 1169–1195.

Grünig M, Seidl R, Senf C. 2023. Increasing aridity causes larger and more severe forest fires across Europe. *Global Change Biology* 29: 1648–1659.

Halofsky JE, Peterson DL, Harvey BJ. 2020. Changing wildfire, changing forests: the effects of climate change on fire regimes and vegetation in the Pacific Northwest, USA. *Fire Ecology* 16: 4.

Hansen WD, Abendroth D, Rammer W, Seidl R, Turner MG. 2020. Can wildland fire management alter 21st-century fire patterns and forests in Grand Teton National Park? *Ecological Applications* 30: e02030.

Hart SA, Chen YH. 2006. Understory vegetation dynamics of North American boreal forests. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 25: 381–397.

Harvey BJ, Donato DC, Turner MG. 2016a. Burn me twice, shame on who? Interactions between successive forest fires across a temperate mountain region. *Ecology* 97: 2272–2282.

Harvey BJ, Donato DC, Turner MG. 2016b. Drivers and trends in landscape patterns of stand-replacing fire in forests of the US Northern Rocky Mountains (1984–2010). *Landscape Ecology* 31: 2367–2383.

Henne PD, Hawbaker TJ, Scheller RM, Zhao F, He HS, Xu W, Zhu Z. 2021. Increased burning in a warming climate reduces carbon uptake in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem despite productivity gains. *Journal of Ecology* 109: 1148–1169.

Herrera-R GA, Oberdoff T, Anderson EP, Brosse S, Carajal-Vallejos FM, Frederico RG, Hidalgo M, Jézéquel C, Maldonado M, Maldonado-Ocampo JA *et al.* 2020. The combined effects of climate change and river fragmentation on the distribution of Andean Amazon fishes. *Global Change Biology* 26: 5509–5523.

Higuera PE, Shuman BN, Wolf KD. 2021. Rocky Mountain subalpine forests now burning more than any time in recent millennia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 25: e2103135118.

Hoecker TJ, Hansen WD, Turner MG. 2020. Topographic position amplifies consequences of short-interval stand-replacing fires on postfire tree establishment in subalpine conifer forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 478: 118523.

Hoecker TJ, Turner MG. 2022. A short-interval reburn catalyzes departures from historical structure and composition in a mesic mixed-conifer forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 504: 119814.

Hoffmann WA, Jaconis SY, McKinley KL, Geiger EL, Gotsch SG, Franco AC. 2012. Fuels or microclimate? Understanding the drivers of fire feedbacks at savanna-forest boundaries. *Austral Ecology* 37: 634–643.

Holling CS. 1973. Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 4: 1–23.

Hostetler S, Whitlock C, Shuman B, Liefert D, Drimal C, Bischke S. 2021. *Greater Yellowstone climate assessment: past, present, and future climate change in greater Yellowstone watersheds*. Bozeman, MT, USA: Montana State University, Institute on Ecosystems.

Houlton BZ, Wang Y, Vitousek PM, Field CB. 2008. A unifying framework for dinitrogen fixation in the terrestrial biosphere. *Nature* 454: 327–330.

Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Baird AH, Connolly SR, Dietzel A, Eakin CM, Heron SF, Hoey AS, Hoogenboom MO, Liu G *et al.* 2018. Global warming transforms coral reef assemblages. *Nature* 556: 492–496.

Jackson MM, Turner MG, Pearson SM. 2014. Logging legacies affect insect pollinator communities in southern Appalachian forests. *Southeastern Naturalist* 13: 317–336.

Jackson MM, Turner MG, Pearson SM, Ives AR. 2012. Seeing the forest and the trees: multilevel models reveal both species and community patterns. *Ecosphere* 3: 79.

Jaeger R, Delagrange S, Aubin I, Joanisse G, Raymond P, Rivest D. 2022. Increasing the intensity of regeneration treatments decreased beta diversity of temperate hardwood forest understory 20 years after disturbance. *Annals of Forest Science* 79: 39.

Johnstone JF, Allen CD, Franklin JF, Frelich LE, Harvey BJ, Higuera PE, Mack MC, Meentemeyer RK, Metz MR, Perry GLW *et al.* 2016. Changing disturbance regimes, ecological memory, and forest resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 14: 369–378.

Keane RE, Hessburg PF, Landres PB, Swanson FJ. 2009. The use of historical range and variability (HRV) in landscape management. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258: 1025–1037.

Keeley JE, Ne'eman G, Fotheringham CJ. 1999. Immaturity risk in a fire-dependent pine. *Journal of Mediterranean Ecology* 1: 41–48.

Keeley JE, Pausas JG. 2022. Evolutionary ecology of fire. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 53: 203–225.

Kelly AE, Goulden ML. 2008. Rapid shifts in plant distributions with recent climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 105: 11823–11826.

Kershaw L, MacKinnon A, Pojar J. 2016. *Plants of the rocky mountains*. Edmonton, AB, Canada: Partners, 1–384.

Landres PB, Morgan P, Swanson FJ. 1999. Overview of the use of natural variability concepts in managing ecological systems. *Ecological Applications* 9: 1179–1188.

Liu D, Peñuelas J, Ogaya R, Estiarte M, Tielbörger K, Slowik F, Yang X, Bilton MC. 2018. Species selection under long-term experimental warming and drought explained by climatic distributions. *New Phytologist* 217: 1494–1506.

Lute AC, Abatzoglou JT, Hegewisch KC. 2015. Projected changes in snowfall extremes and interannual variability of snowfall in the western United States. *Water Resources Research* **51**: 960–972.

Macdonald SE, Fenniak TE. 2007. Understory plant communities of boreal mixedwood forests in western Canada: natural patterns and response to variable-retention harvesting. *Forest Ecology and Management* **242**: 34–48.

Mattingly WB, Orrock JL, Collins CF, Brudvig LA, Damschen EI, Veldman JW, Walker JL. 2015. Historical agriculture alters the effects of fire on understory plant beta diversity. *Oecologia* **177**: 507–518.

Meiners SJ, Cadotte MW, Fridley JD, Pickett STA, Walker LR. 2015. Is successional research nearing its climax? New approaches for understanding dynamic communities. *Functional Ecology* **29**: 154–164.

Millar CI, Stephenson NL, Stephens SL. 2007. Climate change and forests of the future: managing in the face of uncertainty. *Ecological Applications* **17**: 2145–2151.

Miller JD, Thode AE. 2007. Quantifying burn severity in a heterogeneous landscape with a relative version of the delta Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR). *Remote Sensing of Environment* **109**: 66–80.

Mitchell RJ, Hiers JK, O'Brien JJ, Jack SB, Engstrom RT. 2006. Silviculture that sustains: the nexus between silviculture, frequent prescribed fire, and conservation of biodiversity in longleaf pine forests of the southeastern United States. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **36**: 2724–2736.

Nilsson M, Wardle DA. 2005. Understory vegetation as a forest ecosystem driver: evidence from the northern Swedish boreal forest. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **3**: 421–428.

Nolan RH, Collins L, Leigh A, Ooi MKJ, Curran TJ, Fairman TA, Resco de Dios V, Bradstock R. 2021. Limits to post-fire vegetation recovery under climate change. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **44**: 3471–3489.

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara PB, Simpson GL, Solymos P *et al.* 2020. VEGAN: *community ecology package*. R package v.2.5-7. [WWW document] URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan [accessed 20 February 2023].

Palmer MA, Liermann CAR, Nilsson C, Flörke M, Alcamo J, Lake PS, Bond N. 2008. Climate change and the world's river basins: anticipating management options. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **6**: 81–89.

Parks SA, Abatzoglou JT. 2020. Warmer and drier fire seasons contribute to increases in area burned at high severity in western US forests from 1985 to 2017. *Geophysical Research Letters* **47**: e2020GL089858.

Paulson AK, Peña H III, Alexander HD, Davydov SP, Loranty MM, Mack MC, Natali SM. 2021. Understory plant diversity and composition across a postfire tree density gradient in a Siberian Arctic boreal forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **51**: 720–731.

Perry DA, Hessburg PF, Skinner CN, Spies TA, Stephens SL, Taylor AH, Franklin JF, McComb B, Riegel G. 2011. The ecology of mixed severity fire regimes in Washington, Oregon, and Northern California. *Forest Ecology and Management* **262**: 703–717.

Peters DPC, Lugo AE, Chapin FS, Pickett STA, Duniway M, Rocha AV, Swanson FJ, Laney C, Jones J. 2011. Cross-system comparisons elucidate disturbance complexities and generalities. *Ecosphere* **2**: art81.

Qian H, Ricklefs RE. 2006. The role of exotic species in homogenizing the North American flora. *Ecology Letters* **9**: 1293–1298.

R Core Team. 2020. *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. [WWW document] URL https://www.R-project.org/ [accessed 20 Febraury 2023].

Rammer W, Braziunas KH, Hansen WD, Ratajczak Z, Westerling AL, Turner MG, Seidl R. 2021. Widespread regeneration failure in forests of Greater Yellowstone under scenarios of future climate and fire. *Global Change Biology* **27**: 4339–4351.

Reich PB, Bermudez R, Montgomery RA, Rich RL, Rice KE, Hobbie SE, Stefanski A. 2022. Even modest climate change may lead to major transitions in boreal forests. *Nature* **608**: 540–545.

Reilly MJ, McCord MG, Brandt SM, Linowski KP, Butz RJ, Jules ES. 2020. Repeated, high-severity wildfire catalyzes invasion of non-native plant species in forests of the Klamath Mountains, northern California, USA. *Biological Invasions* **22**: 1821–1828.

Richard B, Dupouey J, Corcket E, Alard D, Archaux F, Aubert M, Boulanger V, Gillet F, Langlois E, Mace S *et al.* 2021. The climatic debt is growing in the understorey of temperate forests: stand characteristics matter. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **30**: 1474–1487.

Richter C, Rejmanek M, Miller JED, Welch KR, Weeks J, Safford H. 2019. The species diversity x fire severity relationship is hump-shaped in semiarid yellow line and mixed conifer forests. *Ecosphere* **10**: e02882.

Roberts MR. 2004. Response of the herbaceous layer to natural disturbance in North American forests. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **82**: 1273–1283.

Rodman KC, Veblen TT, Battaglia MA, Chambers ME, Fornwalt PJ, Holden ZA, Kolb TE, Ouzts JR, Rother MT. 2020. A changing climate is snuffing out post-fire recovery in montane forests. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **29**: 2039–2051.

Rogers BM, Neilson RP, Drapek R, Lenihan JM, Wells JR, Bachelet D, Law BE. 2011. Impacts of climate change on fire regimes and carbon stocks of the US Pacific Northwest. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **116**: G03037.

Romme WH, Despain DG. 1989. Historical perspective on the Yellowstone fires of 1988. *Bioscience* **39**: 695–696.

Romme WH, Whitby TG, Tinker DB, Turner MG. 2016. Deterministic and stochastic processes lead to divergence in plant communities 25 years after the 1988 Yellowstone fires. *Ecological Monographs* **86**: 327–351.

Schoennagel T, Turner MG, Romme WH. 2003. The influence of fire interval and serotiny on postfire lodgepole pine density in Yellowstone National Park. *Ecology* **84**: 2967–2978.

Schoennagel T, Waller DM, Turner MG, Romme WH. 2004. The effect of fire interval on post-fire understorey communities in Yellowstone National Park. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **15**: 797–806.

Schuurman GW, Cole DN, Cravens AE, Covington S, Crausbay SD, Hoffman CH, Lawrence DJ, Magness DR, Morton JM, Nelson EA *et al.* 2022. Navigating ecological transformation: resist-accept-direct as a path to a new resource management paradigm. *Bioscience* **72**: 16–29.

Seidl R, Thom D, Kautz M, Martin-Benito D, Peltoniemi M, Vacchiano G, Wild J, Ascoli D, Petr M, Honkaniemi J *et al.* 2017. Forest disturbances under climate change. *Nature Climate Change* **7**: 395–402.

Seidl R, Turner MG. 2022. Post-disturbance reorganization of forest ecosystems in a changing world. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **119**: e2202190119.

Spence MD. 1999. *Dispossessing the wilderness: Indian removal and the making of the National Parks*. New York, NY, USA: Oxford University Press, 200 p.

Stevens JT, Miller JED, Fornwalt PJ. 2019. Fire severity and changing composition of forest understory plant communities. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **30**: 1099–1109.

Stevens JT, Safford HD, Harrison S, Latimer AM. 2015. Forest disturbance accelerates thermophilization of understory plant communities. *Journal of Ecology* **103**: 1253–1263.

Stevens-Rumann CS, Kemp KB, Higuera PE, Harvey BJ, Rother MT, Donato DC, Morgan P, Veblen TT. 2018. Evidence for declining forest resilience to wildfires under climate change. *Ecology Letters* **21**: 243–252.

Taylor BN, Menge DNL. 2018. Light regulates tropical symbiotic nitrogen fixation more strongly than soil nitrogen. *Nature Plants* **4**: 655–661.

Tolonen K. 1983. The post-glacial fire record. In: Wein RW, MacLean DA, eds. *The role of fire in northern circumpolar ecosystems*. Toronto, ON, Canada: John Wiley & Sons, 21–44.

Turner MG. 2010. Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. *Ecology* **91**: 2833–2849.

Turner MG, Braziunas KH, Hansen WD, Harvey BJ. 2019. Short-interval sever fire erodes the resilience of subalpine lodgepole pine forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **116**: 11319–11328.

Turner MG, Braziunas KH, Hansen WD, Hoecker TJ, Rammer W, Ratajczak Z, Westerling AL, Seidl R. 2022. The magnitude, direction, and tempo of forest change in Greater Yellowstone in a warmer world with more fire. *Ecological Monographs* **92**: e01485.

Turner MG, Romme WH. 1994. Landscape dynamics in crown fire ecosystems. *Landscape Ecology* **9**: 59–77.

Turner MG, Romme WH, Gardner RH, Hargrove WW. 1997. Effects of fire size and pattern on early succession in Yellowstone National Park. *Ecological Monographs* **67**: 411–433.

Turner MG, Seidl R. 2023. Novel disturbance regimes and ecological responses. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* **54**: in press.

Turner MG, Smithwick EAH, Metzger KL, Tinker DB, Romme WH. 2007.
Inorganic nitrogen availability following severe stand-replacing fire in the
Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
USA* 104: 4782–4789.

Turner MG, Tinker DB, Romme WH, Kashian DM, Litton CM. 2004.
Landscape patterns of sapling density, leaf area, and aboveground net primary
production in postfire lodgepole pine forests, Yellowstone National Park
(USA). *Ecosystems* 7: 751–775.

Veblen TT, Baker WL, Montenegro G, Swetnam TW. 2006. *Fire and climate
change in temperate ecosystems of the Western Americas.* New York, NY, USA:
Springer.

Velland M, Verheyen K, Flinn KM, Jacquemyn H, Kolb A, van Calster H,
Peterken G, Graae BJ, Bellemare J, Honnay O *et al.* 2007. Homogenization
of forest plant communities and weakening of species-environment
relationships via agricultural land use. *Journal of Ecology* 95: 565–573.

Vitasse Y, Ursenbacher S, Klein G, Bohnenstengel T, Chittaro Y, Delestrade A,
Monnerat C, Rebetez M, Rixen C, Strebel N *et al.* 2021. Phenological and
elevational shifts of plants, animals and fungi under climate change in the
European Alps. *Biological Reviews* 96: 1816–1835.

Vitousek PM, Cassman K, Cleveland C, Crews T, Field CB, Grimm NB,
Howarth RW, Marino R, Martinelli L, Rastetter EB *et al.* 2002. Towards an
ecological understanding of biological nitrogen fixation. *Biogeochemistry* 57: 1–
45.

Weeks J, Miller JED, Steel ZL, Batzer EE, Safford HD. 2023. High-severity dire
drives persistent floristic homogenization in human-altered forests. *Ecosphere*
14: e4409.

Wernberg T, Bennett A, Babcock RC, De Bettignies T, Cure K, Depczynski M,
Dufois F, Fromont J, Fulton CJ, Hovey RK *et al.* 2016. Climate-driven
regime shift of a temperate marine ecosystem. *Science* 353: 169–172.

Westerling AL, Turner MG, Smithwick EAH, Romme WH, Ryan MG. 2011.
Continued warming could transform Greater Yellowstone fire regimes by mid-
21st century. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 108: 13165–
13170.

Western Regional Climate Center. 2021. *Lake Yellowstone, Wyoming, USA.
1981–2010 monthly climate summary.* Reno, NV, USA: Desert Research
Institute.

Whitlock C. 1993. Postglacial vegetation and climate of Grand Teton and
southern Yellowstone National Parks. *Ecological Monographs* 63: 173–198.

Whitlock C, Dean W, Rosenbaum J, Stevens L, Fritz S, Bracht B, Power M.
2008. A 2650-year-long-record of environmental change from northern
Yellowstone National Park based on a comparison of multiple proxy data.
Quaternary International 188: 126–138.

Whitman E, Parisien M, Thompson DK, Flannigan MD. 2019. Short-interval
wildfire and drought overwhelm boreal forest resilience. *Scientific Reports* 9:
18796.

Wolf KD, Higuera PE, Davis KT, Dobrowski SZ. 2021. Wildfire impacts on
forest microclimate vary with biophysical context. *Ecosphere* 12: e03467.

Wright BR, Tinker DB. 2012. Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense* (L.) Scop.)
dynamics in young, post-fire forest in Yellowstone National Park, northwestern
Wyoming. *Plant Ecology* 213: 613–624.

Xu C, You C. 2022. Climate-linked increasing vegetation fires in global high
mountains. *Ecography* 2022: e06527.

Young DJN, Werner CM, Welch KR, Young TP, Safford HD, Latimer AM.
2019. Post-fire forest regeneration shows limited climate tracking and potential
for drought-induced type conversion. *Ecology* 100: e02571.

Zellweger F, De Frenne P, Lenoir J, Vangansbeke P, Verheyen K, Bernhardt-
Römermann M, Baeten L, Hédl R, Berki I, Brunet J *et al.* 2020. Forest
microclimate dynamics drive plant responses to warming. *Science* 368: 772–
775.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1 Standardized regression coefficients of multiple regression of various explanatory variables on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity between paired short- and long-interval plots ($n = 31$).

Fig. S2 Unique species by growth form, lifespan, and status after short- and long-interval fire.

Fig. S3 Differences in cover by lifespan in paired short- and long-interval plots ($n = 31$).

Fig. S4 Differences in cover by growth form in paired short- and long-interval plots ($n = 31$).

Table S1 Summary of datasets used in analyses for Q1.

Table S2 List of species identified across all short- and long-interval plots ($n = 62$).

Table S3 List of species unique to plots following short-interval fire ($n = 31$).

Table S4 List of species unique to plots following long-interval fire ($n = 31$).

Table S5 Species-level responses to environmental variables across all short- and long-interval plots ($n = 62$).

Please note: Wiley is not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.