

Short-interval severe fire erodes the resilience of subalpine lodgepole pine forests

Monica G. Turner^{a,1}, Kristin H. Braziunas^a, Winslow D. Hansen^b, and Brian J. Harvey^c

^aDepartment of Integrative Biology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706; ^bThe Earth Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY 10025; and ^cSchool of Environmental and Forest Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

Contributed by Monica G. Turner, April 15, 2019 (sent for review February 19, 2019; reviewed by Carissa D. Brown and Janet Franklin)

Subalpine forests in the northern Rocky Mountains have been resilient to stand-replacing fires that historically burned at 100- to 300-year intervals. Fire intervals are projected to decline drastically as climate warms, and forests that reburn before recovering from previous fire may lose their ability to rebound. We studied recent fires in Greater Yellowstone (Wyoming, United States) and asked whether short-interval (<30 years) stand-replacing fires can erode lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta var. latifolia) forest resilience via increased burn severity, reduced early postfire tree regeneration, reduced carbon stocks, and slower carbon recovery. During 2016, fires reburned young lodgepole pine forests that regenerated after wildfires in 1988 and 2000. During 2017, we sampled 0.25-ha plots in stand-replacing reburns (n = 18) and nearby young forests that did not reburn (n = 9). We also simulated stand development with and without reburns to assess carbon recovery trajectories. Nearly all prefire biomass was combusted ("crown fire plus") in some reburns in which prefire trees were dense and small (≤4-cm basal diameter). Postfire tree seedling density was reduced sixfold relative to the previous (long-interval) fire, and high-density stands (>40,000 stems ha^{-1}) were converted to sparse stands (<1,000 stems ha^{-1}). In reburns, coarse wood biomass and aboveground carbon stocks were reduced by 65 and 62%, respectively, relative to areas that did not reburn. Increased carbon loss plus sparse tree regeneration delayed simulated carbon recovery by >150 years. Forests did not transition to nonforest, but extreme burn severity and reduced tree recovery foreshadow an erosion of forest resilience.

wildfire | climate warming | Yellowstone National Park | Grand Teton National Park | *Pinus contorta*

hanging fire regimes have the potential to erode forest resilience (ability of a forest to absorb disturbance and maintain similar structure and function) (1, 2) in fire-prone landscapes. Fire is increasing in many forests worldwide as temperatures warm (3. 4), with profound consequences for forest ecosystems (5-10). In western North America, the number, size, and severity of fires have already markedly risen (11–17), and these trends are expected to accelerate in the 21st century. Fire frequencies in some forests may well exceed those documented over the past 10,000 y (18). In forests adapted to infrequent high-severity fires, more frequent fire increases the likelihood of compound disturbances (19), whereby two disturbances that occur in a short period of time have unexpected or synergistic ecological effects (20-23). Compound disturbances can cause a loss of ecological memory if the biological legacies that govern system responses to disturbance are diminished (22). However, empirical study of forest responses to novel fire regimes is challenging, because trees are long lived, the timing and location of fires are unpredictable, and forest responses unfold slowly across landscapes (23, 24).

Of particular concern is whether forest structure and function will shift fundamentally as fire activity increases and whether some forests could lose their capacity to recover (7, 19, 22, 25–30). Even forests well adapted to high-severity fire may be vulnerable (7, 22, 23, 29–31). Many forests characterized by stand-replacing fire regimes are dominated by obligate seeders and must rely on seedling recruitment to regenerate after fire (32, 33). Such forests span vast

boreal forests of Eurasia and North America, conifer forests in Mediterranean regions, eucalypt forests of Australia, and subalpine forests of the Rocky Mountains and Pacific Northwest. Fire return intervals (FRIs) are typically long (e.g., centuries) (34) relative to the lifespan of the dominant trees, and whether these forests will be resilient to changing fire regimes remains unknown (10, 22, 35).

Increased frequency of stand-replacing fire can initiate profound shifts in forest structure if young forests reburn before recovering from previous fire (19, 22, 23). Short FRIs increase "immaturity risk" (36), because seed supply may be insufficient to regenerate a forest if young trees have not reached reproductive maturity (22, 37). Species that produce serotinous cones, which remain closed until heat triggers them to open and release their seeds, may be especially vulnerable to immaturity risk (32, 36, 38). The large canopy seedbank that ensures rapid and prolific postfire regeneration of serotinous tree species can take decades to develop (39). Reduced seedling regeneration after short-interval fires has been reported for Pinus attenuata in California, United States (36); Picea mariana in Yukon, Canada (40); and Banksia hookeriana in Australia (37), although not for conifers in a mixed evergreen forest in Oregon (41). Short-interval fires may also have different effects on young deciduous trees (e.g., trembling aspen Populus tremuloides) that can colonize burned conifer forests as seedlings and persist at low densities (42-44). Competition with conifers constrains aspen survival and growth in the Rocky Mountains (45-47), but colonists might benefit from short-interval fire if roots can survive and resprout (44).

Significance

Increased burning in subalpine and boreal forests dominated by obligate seeders and historically characterized by infrequent, stand-replacing fires has raised the specter of novel fire regimes in which young forests reburn before having recovered from previous fire. Empirical study of forest responses to such changing fire regimes is challenging; trees are long lived, the timing and location of fires are unpredictable, and forest responses unfold slowly. Short-interval stand-replacing fires in lodgepole pine forests of Greater Yellowstone led to substantial losses of biological legacies and reduced tree regeneration, which together delayed simulated recovery of aboveground carbon for >150 years. Results suggest profound changes in forest structure and function if short-interval fires become more common in a warmer world with more fire.

Reviewers: C.D.B., Memorial University; and J.F., University of California, Riverside.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Published under the PNAS license.

Data deposition: Data have been deposited with the NSF-funded Environmental Data Initiative, https://portal.edirepository.org/nis/mapbrowse?packageid=edi.361.2.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: turnermg@wisc.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1902841116/-/DCSupplemental.

Author contributions: M.G.T. and B.J.H. designed research; M.G.T., K.H.B., W.D.H., and B.J.H. performed research; M.G.T. analyzed data; K.H.B. performed computer simulations; and M.G.T. wrote the paper.

Increased frequency of stand-replacing fire may also alter carbon (C) cycling, weakening C sinks if compound disturbances increase C losses and slow C recovery (48, 49). Forests store up to 80% of the total aboveground C in the terrestrial biosphere and 40% of that belowground C (50, 51), but C stocks are dynamic and vary considerably with stand age (52-54). During a fire, C is rapidly released to the atmosphere as foliage, twigs, branches, and soil organic horizons are combusted, but these immediate losses are usually a small fraction of total ecosystem C (54-56). Even in stand-replacing fires, relatively little downed coarse wood is combusted in long-interval fires (~8-16%) (41, 57), and most C in the fire-killed trees remains in the ecosystem as standing dead wood (54, 58, 59). Organic soil C represents only ~4.4% of total ecosystem C and <1% in recently burned stands (54), and fires in subalpine conifer forests typically do not burn deeply into mineral soil (60). After a fire, C stocks recover gradually as trees regenerate, and C storage is determined by the balance between C losses through decomposition and C gains through vegetation growth (52, 54, 61). Under historical fire regimes, forests recover their C long before burning again (e.g., 80% within 50 y and 90% within 100 y for subalpine forests in the Rocky Mountains) (54). Under projected future fire regimes, forests could reburn before C stocks are recovered (18), and fires could release even more C to the atmosphere if legacy wood is combusted (9, 59, 62). Effects on C stocks may be further compounded if tree regeneration is compromised such that postfire vegetation growth is reduced (63). Thus, short-interval fires may produce greater C losses to be recovered by a sparser forest (61, 64).

Opportunities to study effects of short-interval fires have been scarce. Strategically designed studies after natural disturbances—such as successive stand-replacing fires—can fill critical knowledge gaps, especially where long-term data are available (65–67) and confounding anthropogenic influences are minimal (68). Such "natural experiments" can yield timely insights into future forest dynamics (7, 22, 69) that inform stewardship of natural resources (31, 70), aid refinement of process-based models aiming to simulate novel future conditions (71, 72), and improve representation of vegetation dynamics in Earth system models (73–76). Recent fires in well-studied lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia*) forests of Greater Yellowstone (Wyoming, United States) presented such an opportunity (*SI Appendix*, Movie S1).

an opportunity (*SI Appendix*, Movie S1). Encompassing 80,000 km², Greater Yellowstone includes Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks and is one of the largest tracts of undeveloped land in the conterminous United States (77). This conifer-dominated landscape has long been shaped by fire. Large stand-replacing fires have occurred at 100- to 300-y intervals during warm, dry periods throughout the Holocene (78–82), and the biota are well adapted to such fires. Research after the large, severe 1988 Yellowstone fires documented remarkable forest resilience. The 1988 fires burned under extreme drought and high winds, primarily in forests that were >200 y old (39, 78), and the forests recovered rapidly (31, 83–91). Lodgepole pine recruitment was prolific but slightly reduced where FRI was <100 y and serotiny was prevalent (39). However, little area that burned in 1988 experienced the very short FRI (<30 y) projected for the mid- to late 21st century (18, 92, 93).

Fires in Greater Yellowstone that burned during summer 2016 created a natural experiment for evaluating effects of very short FRI on lodgepole pine forests. These fires included >18,000 ha of short-interval (16 and 28 y) fire ("reburns" hereafter), offering a preview of conditions likely to become more common (18, 93). Although forest responses will continue to unfold over time, early postfire measurements are critical to assess certain fire effects (63, 66). Burn severity and wood consumption cannot be measured reliably in later years, and tree seedling establishment after crown fires in lodgepole pine forests of Greater Yellowstone occurs almost entirely during the first year postfire (60) but shapes forest structure and function for centuries (54, 64, 89, 94, 95).

In this study, we asked whether short-interval stand-replacing fires can erode the resilience of subalpine lodgepole pine forests in Greater Yellowstone. We hypothesized that burn severity, early postfire tree regeneration, C stocks, and C recovery time would be markedly different relative to long-interval fire (Table 1). Field studies were conducted during summer 2017 at three sites where young postfire lodgepole pine forests had regenerated after fires in 1988 or 2000 (SI Appendix). At each site, we sampled nine 0.25ha plots (six that reburned and three that did not reburn; n=27 total) (Table 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1). All reburned plots experienced stand-replacing fire (i.e., all trees and 100% of basal area were killed by the 2016 fires). Lodgepole pine density averaged $26,700 \pm 7,300$ stems ha⁻¹ (range = 500-133,800 stems ha⁻¹) before the reburns (hereafter "prefire" within the reburned plots), typical of young postfire forests in Yellowstone (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), and stem density did not differ between plots that did or did not reburn (SI Appendix, SI Text and Table S1). We explored longer-term consequences of short-interval fire by using iLand, a process-based forest landscape model (96) recently parameterized for Greater Yellowstone (38, 97, 98). We simulated stand development and recovery of live, dead, and total aboveground C stocks for 150 y with and without the reburns and in the absence of additional confounding drivers (i.e., under historical climate and assuming no additional disturbance).

Results

Burn Severity. While all reburned plots experienced standreplacing fire (per our study design), the short-interval fires included areas of more extreme burn severity than previously observed in Greater Yellowstone. Based on burn severity classes used for stand-replacing fire (60, 99), 3 plots were categorized as severe surface fire (Fig. 1A), and 15 were crown fire (Fig. 1B). However, four of the crown-fire plots burned with such complete biomass combustion (>95%) that we categorized them as crown fire plus (Fig. 1C), analogous to the definition of fourth-degree burns in the medical field in which the burned part is lost. A greater number and a greater proportion of stems were combusted when prefire trees were smaller (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Table S2). Where the proportion of stems combusted was >0.98(crown fire plus plots), the prefire trees had been both densely packed (>47,000 stems ha^{-1}) and small (mean basal diameter was ≤ 4 cm) (Fig. 2B). Across all reburned plots, postfire stump density (charred stumps of trees alive at the time of the fire and for which the bole and branches were combusted entirely and absent) averaged 22,592 \pm 844 stumps ha⁻¹ (range = 33-106,467 stumps ha^{-1}), and the proportion of stems that were completely combusted averaged 0.41 ± 0.08 (range = 0.03-1.00).

Standard metrics of burn severity in the reburned plots were consistent with stand-replacing crown fire. Mean bole scorch was $98 \pm 2\%$, proportion of tree height that was charred averaged 0.85 ± 0.06 , and mean percentage cover of charred ground surface was $64 \pm 6\%$. Metrics of burn severity increased as prefire tree density increased and mean basal diameter declined (*SI Appendix*, Table S2). As is typical for these forests, the shallow litter layer was largely combusted, and burning of soil was minimal. Ash depth averaged 7.4 ± 1.0 mm, and depth of soil char averaged 0.11 ± 0.06 mm among the reburned plots.

Postfire Tree Regeneration. First-year lodgepole pine seedlings were present in all reburned plots, but their density was much lower than the even-aged regeneration that followed the prior long-interval fire (*SI Appendix*, Table S3). Postfire lodgepole pine seedling density in the reburns averaged $6,450 \pm 2,605$ seedlings ha⁻¹, a sixfold reduction from mean prefire density (Fig. 3*A* and *SI Appendix*, Table S3). Postfire seedling density did not vary with bole scorch, proportion of tree height that was charred, percentage cover of charred surface, or measures of prefire stand structure. Furthermore, postfire seedling density did not vary with distance to or

Table 1. Expectations related to indicators of forest resilience and evaluated after short-interval (<30-y) stand-replacing fires in lodgepole pine forests of Greater Yellowstone that are well adapted to historical long-interval (100- to 300-y) stand-replacing fires

Response variable	Expectation with short-interval (<30-y) relative to long-interval (100- to 300-y) fire	Rationale
Burn severity	Typical of crown fires but increasing with density of young prefire lodgepole pines	Abundance and connectivity of canopy fuels increase with tree density, and dead surface fuels are abundant throughout the young forests (100)
Postfire tree regeneration	Reduced density of postfire lodgepole pine seedlings	Immaturity risk (36), as fires occur before trees have produced cones or built up a robust seed bank; increased exposure of cones to fire given the short stature of the trees (<3-m tall) (89) and proximity of the canopy to dead surface fuels (100)
Postfire tree regeneration	Increased relative abundance of aspens	Aspens that colonized from seed after the previous fire (42–44) can potentially resprout and thus, increase in relative abundance if conifer seedling density is substantially reduced (no mature aspen stands occurred in our study plots before the first or second fire)
Woody biomass and aboveground C stocks	Reduced coarse wood biomass and aboveground C stocks	A large volume of legacy-downed coarse wood (trees killed by the previous long-interval fires that have since fallen) was available to be burned
Recovery of aboveground C stocks	Delayed	C lost in the reburns as live trees and coarse wood are combusted will create a larger C debt and thus, delay recovery to C stocks typical of mature forests (54, 60)

height of the nearest unburned forest (P > 0.20). Variation in postfire lodgepole pine seedling density was explained only by a positive correlation with the density of cones remaining on fire-killed trees after the reburn (r = 0.62, P = 0.0059) (Fig. 4).

Dense young lodgepole pine stands were converted to sparse stands by short-interval fire, whereas sparse stands regenerated as sparse stands. Mean relative change in lodgepole pine density (from prefire stems to postfire seedlings) was -52% (*SI Appendix*, Table S3), and it was negatively correlated with prefire stem density (r = -0.73, P = 0.0006). Relative change in density varied with fire severity class ($r^2 = 0.66$, P = 0.0003). Density declined sharply in areas of crown fire and crown fire plus (-71 and -95%, respectively) and increased but remained sparse in areas of severe surface fire (+71.1%) that were sparsely treed in 2016.

Aspens were a minor component of these forests before and after the reburn. Prefire aspen density averaged 102 ± 38 stems ha⁻¹ within the reburned plots, representing merely $1.4 \pm 0.7\%$ of the stems. Aspen density in nearby plots that did not reburn was higher (mean = 352 ± 138 stems ha⁻¹) than our reconstructions based on postfire stumps in the reburned plots, suggesting that over one-half of the young prefire aspens may have been killed by the 2016 fire. Postfire aspen stumps that resprouted in 2017 averaged 59 \pm 25 stems ha⁻¹ (Fig. 3*B*), representing 2.9 \pm 1.2% of postfire stems.

Woody Biomass and Aboveground C Stocks.

Legacy downed coarse wood. Percentage cover of coarse wood in reburns was about one-half of what was measured in plots that did not reburn (7.3 vs. 15.5%, respectively) (Fig. 3C) and did not vary by site (*SI Appendix*, Table S4). Percentage cover of ghost

Table 2. Prefire characteristics of young lodgepole pine forests that regenerated after fires in 2000 or 1988 and that did or did not reburn during 2016

	Berry-Glade (16-y FRI)		Berry-Huck (28-y FRI)		Maple-North Fork (28-y FRI)	
Prefire attribute	Not reburned	Reburned	Not reburned	Reburned	Not reburned	Reburned
Stand structure and biomass						
Lodgepole pine density (stems ha ⁻¹)	21,267 (14,533)	18,833 (7,765)	6,655 (1,840)	13,539 (4,169)	63,189 (35,359)	76,511 (14,176)
Mean tree basal diameter (cm)	6.0 (1.2)	5.0 (0.7)	9.7 (1.3)	8.6 (1.5)	6.4 (1.2)	3.5 (0.6)
Lodgepole pine biomass (Mg ha ⁻¹)						
Foliage biomass	5.6 (1.9)	4.5 (1.6)	12.8 (2.3)	12.8 (1.7)	23.7 (2.9)	9.2 (1.0)
Bole biomass	17.3 (7.0)	14.0 (4.9)	30.6 (3.9)	33.3 (4.5)	68.1 (2.9)	31.8 (2.8)
Branch biomass	2.8 (0.8)	2.2 (0.8)	9.6 (2.4)	8.4 (1.3)	13.4 (3.8)	3.7 (0.7)
Total aboveground biomass	26.0 (9.7)	21.1 (7.4)	52.8 (8.2)	54.9 (7.2)	106.6 (8.5)	45.2 (4.5)
Aspen density (stems ha^{-1})	844 (174)	244 (75)	211 (78)	55 (55)	0 (0)	6 (6)
Cone supply						
Cone abundance (10 ³ cones ha ⁻¹)	15.1 (83.9)	NA	12.9 (16.1)	NA	55.5 (35.4)	NA
Proportion of trees with one or more serotinous cones	0.06 (0.03)	NA	0.08 (0.04)	NA	0.20 (0)	NA
Downed coarse wood (>7.5 cm)						
Coarse wood cover (%)	14.7 (2.6)	11.5 (2.0)	16.6 (3.2)	11.6 (1.1)	15.3 (2.8)	11.1 (2.8)
Coarse wood volume (m ³ ha ⁻¹)	187.7 (29.8)	NA	294.2 (15.0)	NA	172.8 (27.2)	NA
Coarse wood biomass (Mg ha ⁻¹)	69.3 (10.1)	NA	109.0 (7.9)	NA	67.5 (11.0)	NA

For plots that did not reburn, n = 3; for reburned plots, n = 6. Values are mean (SE). NA, not applicable, as values could not be estimated within plots that reburned.

IAS D

A Severe-surface fire $(n = 3)$	Mean (SE)	
Percent cover (%)		
Charred surface	33 (3)	
Mineral soil	23 (8)	
Live vegetation	29 (7)	
Ghost logs	3 (1)	
Proportion tree height charred	0.41 (0.11)	BEEPH HULLS
Proportion stems combusted	0.19 (0.10)	A COM
B Crown fire (<i>n</i> = 11)	Mean (SE)	
Percent cover (%)		
Charred surface	61 (6)	
Mineral soil	22 (5)	
Live vegetation	29 (6)	
Ghost logs	4 (1)	
Proportion tree height charred	0.91 (0.04)	- BEEN HOR OD I 3
Proportion stems combusted	0.26 (0.05)	E DOW TO THE REAL OF
C Crown fire plus $(n = 4)$	Mean (SE)	
Percent cover (%)		
Charred material	97 (1)	
Mineral soil	47 (8)	
Live vegetation	1 (0)	
Ghost logs	6 (3)	man and in the method
Proportion tree height charred	1.00 (0.00)	MALE + IFR
Proportion stems combusted	0.99 (0.01)	(m ≤ 44000 (C) ⊆ 5 W

Fig. 1. Short-interval stand-replacing fires (i.e., reburns) included areas of (A) severe surface fire, in which brown needles are still visible on fire-killed trees; (B) crown fire, in which needles were consumed in the fire; and (C) crown fire plus, in which combustion of stems, branches, and downed wood was nearly complete.

logs (logs that had been on the ground and were combusted in the fire) (visible in Fig. 1*C*) averaged $4.1 \pm 0.8\%$ in the reburns. Coarse wood volume and biomass varied among sites and between plots that did or did not reburn, with most variation due to burn status and no interaction with site (*SI Appendix*, Table S4). Coarse wood volume and biomass in reburns were less than onehalf of those measured in nearby plots that did not reburn (volume: 92 vs. 218 m³ ha⁻¹, respectively; biomass: 29 vs. 82 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively) (Fig. 3*D*). Thus, about 58% of legacy coarse wood volume (126 m³ ha⁻¹) and 65% of coarse wood biomass (53 Mg ha⁻¹) were combusted during the short-interval fires. Nearly all wood was combusted in crown fire plus.

Aboveground C stocks. Reconstructed prefire aboveground C stocks averaged $59 \pm 3.8 \text{ Mg C} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ across the reburned plots, including $40 \pm 2.3 \text{ Mg C} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ in downed coarse wood and $19 \pm 2.4 \text{ Mg C} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ in live lodgepole pine biomass (foliage, boles, and branches) (Fig. 5). After the reburn, aboveground C stocks averaged $24 \pm 4.2 \text{ Mg C} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (an average 62% loss of prefire aboveground C stocks) (*SI Appendix*, Table S3), with $15 \pm 2.5 \text{ Mg C} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ remaining in downed coarse wood, $9.5 \pm 2 \text{ Mg C} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ in standing fire-killed trees, assuming no bole or branch loss and no live tree C (Fig. 5). Relative losses of aboveground C varied from 32 to 96% among reburned plots (*SI Appendix*, Table S3) and were greater where lodgepole pines were smaller

in diameter (correlation with mean tree basal diameter, r = -0.5, P = 0.0364). The absolute amount of C loss was unrelated to prefire stand density or biomass, but nearly all (92%) of aboveground C was lost in crown fire plus (Fig. 1C and SI Appendix, Table S4).

Simulated Recovery of Aboveground C Stocks. Short-interval fire alone delayed recovery of aboveground C stocks in simulated lodgepole pine stands by >150 y (Fig. 6). If the young forests had not reburned, aboveground C stocks would have recovered to the expected long-term average of 150 Mg C ha⁻¹ well within 100 y assuming historical climate and no additional disturbance (Fig. 6). Accrual of aboveground C stocks after fire results from rapid tree growth and gradual recruitment of dead wood. With reburns, live tree C did recover within 60 y. However, dead wood C never reached prefire levels, and total aboveground C stocks never converged between stands that did and did not reburn (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Short-interval stand-replacing fires in lodgepole pine forests of Greater Yellowstone led to substantial losses of material legacies and likely will delay recovery of aboveground C stocks by >150 y. These results portend profound changes in the structure and



Fig. 2. Relationship between (*A*) postfire lodgepole pine stump density and (*B*) percentage of prefire lodgepole pine stems that were combusted in the 2016 short-interval fires vs. prefire density of lodgepole pines that regenerated after the 1988 or 2000 fires. Mean basal diameter of prefire lodgepole pines in each reburned plot (n = 18) is depicted by size of the bubble.

function of lodgepole pine forests in Greater Yellowstone if short-interval fires become more common. The 2016 fires clearly demonstrated that the short-interval high-severity fires implied by earlier projections based on statistical relationships between climate and fire (18) and supported by fuels data (100, 101) and regional analyses (102) are plausible. Disruptions of the disturbance–recovery cycle that transform forest structure and function are also likely to influence recovery from future disturbances.



Fig. 3. (*A*) Prefire lodgepole pines and postfire first-year lodgepole pine seedlings in plots that reburned (n = 18). (*B*) Prefire aspens and postfire aspens that resprouted in plots that reburned (n = 18). (*C*) Surface cover of downed coarse wood (>7.5-cm diameter) in young stands that reburned (n = 18) and did not reburn (n = 9). (*D*) Biomass of downed coarse wood in young stands that reburned (n = 18) and did not reburn (n = 9). (*D*) Biomass of downed coarse wood in young stands that reburned (n = 18) and did not reburn (n = 9). Error bars are ± 1 SE.



Fig. 4. Relationship between the density of first-year postfire lodgepole pine seedlings and the density of cones remaining on fire-killed trees within plots that had reburned (n = 18). Cones were primarily nonserotinous and charred but not combusted.

Burn Severity. Burn severity increased with lodgepole pine density as expected. Nonetheless, the areas of crown fire plus were surprising, as we had not observed this previously in Greater Yellowstone, even where young forests had reburned (e.g., 29-y FRI in the 2009 Bearpaw Fire and 24-y FRI in the 2012 Cygnet Fire). Lodgepole pines in our high-density stands were small (\leq 4-cm basal diameter), with a greater stem surface area to volume ratio than larger trees, and more combustible. Similar extreme burn severities were recorded in field notes after historical short-interval fires in the northern Rockies (e.g., portions of a 1910 fire in the Coeur d'Alene National Forest in Idaho "burned up all the trees" in an area burned 40 y prior) (103). Although it was likely rare historically, crown fire plus may become more common during the 21st century as fire frequency increases.

The conditions that produce areas of crown fire plus are not well understood, and we surmise that extreme burn severity resulted from an interaction of fuels and local fire weather or fire behavior. Burn severities were consistent with the potential for high-intensity fire when surface and canopy fuels are abundant and proximal to each other in young conifer stands (100, 101). The dense low-stature canopy conditions also reduce the wind speeds required for crown fire initiation and spread (101, 104). An extended period of smoldering combustion after passage of the main fire front also could have produced the areas of crown fire plus. Downed coarse wood was also abundant in all plots, which may promote ongoing combustion when weather is warm and dry (105). Finally, self-reinforcing internal dynamics of the fire (e.g., fire-induced winds that equal or exceed ambient winds) (106) also could have contributed. How weather and fuel profiles interact in young forests needs additional study. Crown fire plus was observed only in areas of high tree density, but not all highdensity forests burned as crown fire plus.

Postfire Tree Regeneration. The substantial reduction in initial postfire recruitment of lodgepole pines in the reburns compared with the prior long-interval fires is consistent with immaturity risk and suggests that seed supply was the primary driver of recruitment density. The young stands that reburned were reproductively mature, and some trees had produced at least one serotinous cone

prefire (Table 1). However, the prefire stands lacked the robust canopy seedbank that develops over 40-70 y (39), and in crown fire plus, there was complete loss of any in situ seed supply. Other studies have reported reduced postfire tree establishment for serotinous (36, 107) and semiserotinous conifers (40, 108) after similar short-interval severe fires, and the magnitude of reduction has varied widely. Reduced tree regeneration may result in a smaller canopy seedbank going forward (108), which could further reduce regeneration if another fire was to occur in <30 y (109). However, this feedback could be partially offset if trees in sparse stands grow faster and produce more cones per tree. Effects of short-interval fires on tree species that mature later (e.g., Abies lasiocarpa, Picea engelmannii, Pseudotsuga menziesii) would be even more severe (37). Establishment in reburns would then be limited by distance to unburned seed sources (110), but even the nearby seed supply may be scant for decades if the surrounding unburned forest is also young.

The fate of resprouting aspens after these short-interval fires is unclear. Seedling aspens grow slowly in Greater Yellowstone (43), largely because of competition with lodgepole pine (44), but resprouts can grow fast and even dominate early postfire succession (111–113). Our data suggest that up to two-thirds of the initial cohort of seedling aspens that established after the first fire were killed by the reburn, similar to effects on firetolerant *Eucalyptus puaciflora* in subalpine forests of Australia (114). Aspens also increased relative to conifers in boreal forests that reburned within 25 y of age (115). Surviving aspens could potentially increase in local dominance, as some reprouts were 1 m tall 2 y after the reburn.

Our data only quantified first-year tree regeneration, and long-term study is needed to ascertain the fates of the reburned stands. Postfire forest resilience could be further eroded if seedling survival or growth is limited by projected hotter, drier climate conditions (38, 116, 117) or competition with understory vegetation (e.g., *Calamagrostis rubescens* or *Calamagrostis canadensis*), which resprouted robustly in some of the reburns. Alternatively, although most lodgepole pine regeneration in these forests occurs during the first year or two, sparse stands should infill as initial recruits mature (95, 118) or aspens increase in density (44) as climate allows. Warmer temperatures and increased atmospheric CO₂ concentrations could also lead to increased rates of tree productivity in some locations (64, 119).



Fig. 5. Estimated aboveground C stocks in young lodgepole pine stands (n = 18) with and without short-interval (<30 y) fire. Prefire live C pool was reconstructed from estimates of prefire tree density, basal diameter, and site-specific allometric equations. Prefire dead C pool was estimated from nearby similar stands (n = 9) that did not reburn. Postfire C pools were calculated from field measurements in the reburned stands (n = 18). *SI Appendix*, Table S3 has additional details.



Fig. 6. Trajectories of live, dead, and total aboveground C stocks simulated for 150 y using iLand. Stand development of each plot sampled in this study (n = 18) was simulated for 150 y with (dashed line) and without (solid line) the 2016 reburn (assumed uninterrupted recovery from the prior long-interval fire). Lines are annual among-plot means (n = 18); shading indicates 95% confidence intervals around each line.

Understanding how multiple interacting drivers will shape stand development in the decades ahead is a critical research priority (8).

Woody Biomass and Aboveground C Stocks. We expected losses of woody biomass and C stocks with short-interval fire, but the magnitude of these losses was surprisingly high. Other studies in western US forests found reductions in woody surface fuels associated with reburns ranging from 15 (120) to 45% (59) compared with single burns. The large losses that we observed (>60%)were similar to reburns in boreal black spruce (P. mariana) forests (62), which are also short in stature. Short fire intervals also leave a lasting influence on dead wood; a near halving of dead wood mass in reburned stands in the Biscuit Fire (Oregon, United States) was expected to persist for at least 50 y until recruitment of new material begins, and to remain low for over a century (59). In Greater Yellowstone, effects on dead wood are likely to persist for over 150 v with cascading influences on wildlife habitat (121) and ecosystem processes, such as decomposition and nitrogen cycling (122, 123).

Replacement biomass is critical to sustaining ecosystem C stocks after stand-replacing fire, but the fire interval must equal or exceed the time required to recover C losses (53, 61, 63, 124, 125). Earlier simulation studies using the Century model found that the 1988 Yellowstone fires reduced total C stocks in lodgepole pine forests by only 12% from prefire levels, and 85% of that C was recovered in 100 y (124). FRIs < 90 y initiated a long-term decline in simulated total C stocks, and 30-y FRI reduced total ecosystem C stocks by 66% (124). Our field data are consistent with such declines, and our simulations reveal lengthy time lags for C stocks to rebuild. Repeated reburns could further reduce material legacies and ecological memory (22, 67), initiating a downward ratchet until trees do not regenerate and forest C stocks cannot recover (19, 23, 109).

Implications for Forest Resilience. Theoretical studies suggest that systems will recover more slowly from disturbance as they approach a critical transition (126–129). Our data demonstrate that postfire tree regeneration and rates of C recovery slowed with short-interval fire and could serve as early indicators of an erosion of forest resilience. Future ecosystem state is hard to predict

when legacies are eliminated over large areas (130, 131), and increased extent of unusually high disturbance severity-such as crown fire plus-in which material and information legacies are lost could increase the likelihood of abrupt ecological change (22, 23, 109). Other drivers that reduce tree seedling survival, such as drought or competition, also could amplify the effects of a changing disturbance regime (107, 117, 118, 132, 133). However, negative feedbacks could develop between vegetation and fire that attenuate the effects of future fire (10). Although highseverity fire often begets high-severity fire, because live fuels recover quickly (100, 102, 134), conversion of dense stands to sparse stands or nonforest could alter fire spread or reduce burn severity. The absence of downed coarse wood for many decades also could deprive future fires of the fuels needed for extended periods of combustion, which may be a key factor in producing areas of crown fire plus. Our study underscores the need to understand mechanisms underpinning forest resilience and how feedbacks will evolve over time (69).

Future forest dynamics may diverge considerably from benchmarks of the past as climate and fire regimes continue to change (*SI Appendix*, Movie S1). Of course, consequences for forest landscapes will depend on the frequency, size, severity, and pattern of future fires and the suite of factors that control recovery. The recent short-interval fires in Greater Yellowstone did not transform the landscape, but they suggest that profound changes in forest structure and function are likely if short-interval fires become more common in a warmer world with more fire.

Materials and Methods

Study Area. We studied two fires in Greater Yellowstone (*SI Appendix*) that burned in subalpine forests during summer 2016 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1) and encompassed areas that we have studied previously (54, 83–92, 100). The Berry Fire in Grand Teton National Park began on July 27, 2016 and burned through mid-September, eventually encompassing ~8,500 ha. The Berry Fire reburned 28-y-old lodgepole pines that regenerated after the 1988 Huck Fire (90) and 16-y-old lodgepole pines that regenerated after the 2000 Glade Fire (86). The Maple Fire in Yellowstone National Park was reported on August 8, 2016 burning in dense 28-y-old lodgepole pine forests that had regenerated after the 1988 North Fork Fire (83, 84, 89). The Maple Fire continued burning through late October and encompassed ~21,000 ha. All of these burned forests were dominated by lodgepole pine. Small aspens that

had established from seed after either the 1988 or the 2000 fire were also intermixed with the young conifers (42–44).

We established nine 0.25-ha (50 \times 50-m) study plots at each of three sites (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Two sites were in the Berry Fire [Berry-Glade (16-y FRI) and Berry-Huck (28-y FRI)], and one was in the Maple-North Fork (28-y FRI). At each site, six plots were established in areas of stand-replacing fire (i.e., all trees were killed). Because some variables (e.g., volume and mass of downed coarse wood, cone density, presence of serotinous cones, and density of aspens) could not be reconstructed with confidence in reburned plots, three plots were established in nearby young forests that did not reburn in 2016. In each reburned plot (n = 18), we measured prefire stand structure, burn severity, postfire tree regeneration, and downed coarse wood following protocols from our previous studies (14, 85, 89). In each plot that did not reburn (n = 9), we measured stand structure and downed coarse wood using the same procedures. Locations of each plot center (coordinates in Universal Transverse Mercator North American Datum 83 Zone 12N) and elevation were recorded with a GPS unit; plots were selected to have minimal slope and aspect (to avoid potential confounding effects), but both were measured in each plot.

Field Sampling. To quantify prefire stand structure and postfire tree regeneration, we tallied all prefire trees (live and fire killed) by species and all postfire tree seedlings and aspens (all of which were resprouts) in three 50 imes2-m belt transects in each plot (85, 86, 89). Transects were oriented to the north and generally separated by 25 m. However, in two plots in the Berry-Huck site, transects were separated by 15 m because of the configuration of reburned forest patches. Because prefire trees were fully combusted in some reburned plots (Fig. 1C), estimates of prefire tree density included burned stumps of lodgepole pines and aspens that were alive before the fire. At 5-m intervals along each transect, we located the nearest lodgepole pine and recorded its basal diameter (diameter at breast height if height > 1.4 m) and the number of cones present (n = 25 trees per plot). In plots that did not reburn, we also identified serotinous cones by their age (>3 y) and morphology (asymmetrical shape, acute angle of branch attachment, tightly closed, and weathered gray color) as in prior studies (39, 83, 87, 135). We computed the percentage of trees with cones, the percentage of trees with serotinous cones, and stand-level cone abundance (mean cones per stem × stem density).

Burn severity was quantified within a centrally located circular subplot of 30-m diameter following standard protocols (14). We measured the number and proportion of trees fully combusted; char height (meters), bole scorch (percentage of circumference), and fine branch consumption on dominant prefire live trees; ground cover (e.g., vegetation, mineral soil, litter, and charred material); depth of soil O horizon; and depth of soil charring.

The percentage cover, volume, and mass of downed coarse wood (>7.5-cm diameter) were quantified in each plot by sampling three 31.25-m Brown's transects per plot (136) oriented at azimuths of 0°, 120°, and 240° to avoid potential sample bias from nonrandom orientation of logs. In reburned plots only, we estimated percentage cover of "ghost logs" (log shadows indicating where downed wood had been consumed by the fire) by using the line intercept measurements along each Brown's transect.

Biomass and C Stock Calculations. Because most aboveground C is in the live trees and dead wood (54), we computed biomass and C stocks for these pools only. Foliage, bole, branch, and total aboveground live lodgepole pine biomass were estimated for each measured lodgepole pine by using allometric equations developed from destructive sampling of 60 24-y-old lodgepole pines within our study area (89, 137). Basal diameter was used to predict each response, and the models performed well (137). We multiplied biomass of the median tree in each plot by stem density to predict stand-level lodgepole pine aboveground biomass (megagrams hectare⁻¹) (54, 84, 89).

C stocks were estimated by applying empirically measured C content to foliage, live wood, and dead wood biomass pools (54). Because coarse wood biomass could not be reconstructed on reburned plots, we assumed that the mean coarse wood biomass at the three plots at each site that did not reburn represented the prefire values for the six reburned plots at each site. This is a reasonable assumption, because the 1988 and 2000 fires burned through mature lodgepole pine forests >150 y old, at which time stand

- Holling CS (1973) Resilience and stability of ecological systems. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 4: 1–23.
- Scheffer M (2009) Critical Transitions in Nature and Society (Princeton University, Princeton).
- Flannigan MD, Krawchuk MA, de Groot WJ, Wotton BM, Gowman LM (2009) Implications of changing climate for global wildland fire. Int J Wildland Fire 18:483–507.
- Jolly WM, et al. (2015) Climate-induced variations in global wildfire danger from 1979 to 2013. Nat Commun 6:7537.

density and biomass have generally converged (54, 94) and nearly all trees killed by the earlier fire had fallen (*SI Appendix* has more information). C losses were estimated by differences between prefire reconstructed C stocks and field measurements after the reburn.

Data Analysis. All data were analyzed at the plot level (138). Mean values are presented with one SE. All variables were tested for normality before analysis and transformed if necessary. Lodgepole pine densities (stems, stumps, and seedlings) were \log_{10} transformed, cone density was square root transformed, and proportion data were arcsine square root transformed. Two-way ANOVA was used to test for differences in prefire stand structure among sites and between plots that did and did not reburn. Linear regression was used to assess relationships between response variables (burn severity, postreburn regeneration density) and prefire stand structure (lodgepole pine density, basal diameter, cone density, aboveground biomass). Predictor variables that were highly correlated (|r| > 0.7; e.g., lodgepole pine density and basal diameter) were not included in the same model, and the predictor with the strongest univariate correlation with the response variable was included. Model selection based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) was performed to identify models that were equally supported by the data (Δ AIC \leq 2), and then, a top model was chosen based on parsimony (fewer variables) and adjusted r^2 . Analyses were conducted in SAS version 9.4 (139).

Simulation Modeling. To explore longer-term consequences of short-interval fires on subsequent recovery of C stocks, we used the process-based forest simulation model iLand (96) to model aboveground live and dead lodgepole pine C stocks. iLand is an individual-based model that has been parameterized and performs well for the dominant conifer species in Greater Yellowstone (38, 97, 98). We used iLand to simulate development of stands (1 ha) of serotinous lodgepole pine, initializing each of the 18 reburned plots with (i) reconstructed prefire stand structure and downed coarse wood estimates, representing conditions had these areas not reburned, and (ii) postfire tree regeneration density, snag density, standing wood biomass, and coarse wood biomass (SI Appendix, Table S5 has initial conditions and drivers). Because prefire downed coarse wood biomass could not be directly measured in the reburned plots, we used the mean from the three plots at each site that did not reburn. Each 1-ha stand was simulated with and without the reburn for 150 y under historical climate (1980-2017) (140) without additional disturbance. Trees, saplings, and seedlings within a stand served as the only seed supply for subsequent regeneration, but stands infill readily, because lodgepole pines produce cones at young age (87, 141). Annual climate was drawn at random with replacement. Replicates (n = 20) were run for each simulation, and results were averaged by plot to avoid bias due to a particular climate sequence. Aboveground C dynamics (live tree C, standing dead snag C, and downed wood C) were then compared with and without the 2016 reburn and also compared among plots of different burn severity. We considered the stand to have recovered its aboveground C stock (live plus dead tree C) when it reached 150 Mg C ha⁻¹ based on chronosequence data in this system (54).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank the following people for their effort throughout our summer 2017 field season: Jacob Gold, Tyler Hoecker, Shauna Jacobs, Alexandra Orrego, Tanjona Ramiadantsoa, Saba Saberi, and Deirdre Turner. We also thank Diane Abendroth, Nathan Gill, Ann Olsson, Zak Ratajzcak, Bill Romme, and Ron Steffens for assistance in the field and Bill Romme and Dan Donato for insightful discussions. We thank Michael Dillon and the University of Wyoming-National Park Service Research Station staff for logistical support and Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks for facilitating this study. Constructive comments from Dan Donato and Rupert Seidl improved this manuscript. Field sampling was conducted under National Park Service Research Permits YELL-2017-SCI-5238 and GRTE-2017-SCI-0021. Research was funded by NSF Grant DEB-1719905 and Joint Fire Science Program 16-3-01-4. M.G.T. acknowledges support from the University of Wisconsin-Madison Vilas Trust and the UW2020 initiative of the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation. W.D.H. acknowledges support from the Earth Institute at Columbia University and Columbia University's Center for Climate and Life.

- Seidl R, Schelhaas M-J, Lexer MJ (2011) Unraveling the drivers of intensifying forest disturbance regimes in Europe. *Global Change Biol* 17:2842–2852.
- Parks SA, Parisien MA, Miller C (2012) Spatial bottom-up controls on fire likelihood varv across western North America. Ecosphere 3:1–2.
- 7. Reyer C, et al. (2015) Forest resilience and tipping points at different spatio-temporal scales: Approaches and challenges. J Ecol 103:5–15.
- 8. Trumbore S, Brando P, Hartmann H (2015) Forest health and global change. Science 349:814–818.

- Hoy EE, Turetsky MR, Kasischke ES (2016) More frequent burning increases vulnerability of Alaskan boreal black spruce forests. *Environ Res Lett* 11:095001.
- Pritchard SJ, Stevens-Rumann CS, Hessburg PF (2017) Tamm review: Shifting global fire regimes: Lessons from reburns and research needs. For Ecol Manage 396:217– 233.
- Dennison P, Brewer S, Arnold J, Moritz M (2014) Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984-2011. Geophys Res Lett 41:2928–2933.
- Stavros EN, Abatzoglou JT, McKenzie D, Larkin NK (2014) Regional projections of the likelihood of very large wildland fires under a changing climate in the conterminous western United States. *Clim Change* 126:455–468.
- Abatzoglou JT, Williams AP (2016) Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:11770–11775.
- Harvey BJ, Donato DC, Turner M (2016) Drivers and trends in spatial patterns of burn severity in forests of the US Northern Rocky Mountains (1984-2010). Landsc Ecol 31: 2367–2383.
- 15. Westerling AL (2016) Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: Sensitivity to changes in the timing of spring. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 371:20150178, and erratum (2016) 371:20160373.
- Abatzoglou JT, Kolden CA, Williams AP, Lutz JA, Smith AMS (2017) Climatic influences on interannual variability in regional burn severity across western US forests. Int J Wildland Fire 26:269–275.
- 17. Balch JK, et al. (2017) Human-started wildfires expand the fire niche across the United States. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114:2946–2951.
- Westerling AL, Turner MG, Smithwick EAH, Romme WH, Ryan MG (2011) Continued warming could transform Greater Yellowstone fire regimes by mid-21st century. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:13165–13170.
- Paine RT, Tegner MJ, Johnson EA (1998) Compounded perturbations yield ecological surprises. *Ecosystems* 1:535–545.
- Jasinski JPP, Payette S (2005) The creation of alternative stable states in the southern boreal forest, Quebec, Canada. *Ecol Monogr* 75:561–583.
- Harvey BJ, Donato DC, Romme WH, Turner MG (2013) Influence of recent bark beetle outbreak on fire severity and postfire tree regeneration in montane Douglasfir forests. *Ecology* 94:2475–2486.
- Johnstone JF, et al. (2016) Changing disturbance regimes, climate warming and forest resilience. Front Ecol Environ 14:369–378.
- Ratajczak Z, et al. (2018) Abrupt change in ecological systems: Inference and diagnosis. Trends Ecol Evol 33:513–526.
- Hughes TP, Linares C, Dakos V, van de Leemput IA, van Nes EH (2013) Living dangerously on borrowed time during slow, unrecognized regime shifts. *Trends Ecol Evol* 28:149–155.
- Johnstone JF, et al. (2010) Fire, climate change and forest resilience in interior Alaska. Can J For Res 40:1302–1312.
- Johnstone JF, Hollingsworth TN, Chapin FS, Mack MC (2010) Changes in fire regime break the legacy lock on successional trajectories in Alaskan boreal forest. *Global Change Biol* 16:1281–1295.
- 27. Littel JS, et al. (2010) Forest ecosystems, disturbance, and climatic change in Washington State, USA. *Clim Change* 102:129–158.
- Mann D, Rupp TS, Olson MA, Duffy PA (2012) Is Alaska's boreal forest now crossing a major ecology threshold? Arct Antarct Alp Res 44:319–331.
- Millar CI, Stephenson NL (2015) Temperate forest health in an era of emerging megadisturbance. Science 349:823–826.
- Seidl R, Spies TA, Peterson DL, Stephens SL, Hicke JA (2016) Searching for resilience: Addressing the impacts of changing disturbance regimes on forest ecosystem services. J Appl Ecol 53:120–129.
- 31. Turner MG (2010) Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. *Ecology* 91:2833–2849.
- Buma B, Brown CD, Donato DC, Fontaine JB, Johnstone JF (2013) The impacts of changing disturbance regimes on serotinous plant populations and communities. *Bioscience* 63:866–876.
- Bowman DMJS, Williamson GJ, Prior LD, Murphy BP (2016) The relative importance of intrinsic and extrinsic factors in the decline of obligate seeder forests. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 23:1166–1172.
- Turner MG, Romme WH (1994) Landscape dynamics in crown fire ecosystems. Landsc Ecol 9:59–77.
- 35. Stephens SL, et al. (2013) Land use. Managing forests and fire in changing climates. *Science* 342:41–42.
- Keeley JE, Ne'eman G, Fotheringham CJ (1999) Immaturity risk in a fire-dependent pine. J Med Ecol 1:41–48.
- Enright NJ, et al. (2015) Interval squeeze: Altered fire regimes and demographic responses interact to threaten woody species persistence as climate changes. Front Ecol Environ 13:265–272.
- Hansen WD, Braziunas KH, Rammer W, Seidl R, Turner MG (2018) It takes a few to tango: Changing climate and fire regimes can cause regeneration failure of two subalpine conifers. *Ecology* 99:966–977.
- Schoennagel T, Turner MG, Romme WH (2003) The influence of fire interval and serotiny on postfire lodgepole pine density in Yellowstone National Park. *Ecology* 84:2967–2978.
- Brown CD, Johnstone JF (2012) Once burned, twice shy: Repeat fires reduce seed availability and alter substrate constraints on *Picea mariana* regeneration. For Ecol Manage 266:34–41.
- Donato DC, Fontaine JB, Robinson WD, Kauffman JB, Law BE (2009) Vegetation response to a short interval between high-severity wildfires in a mixed-evergree forest. J Ecol 97:142–154.
- Turner MG, Romme WH, Reed RA, Tuskan GA (2003) Post-fire aspen seedling recruitment across the Yellowstone (USA) landscape. Landsc Ecol 18:127–140.

- Romme WH, Turner MG, Tuskan GA, Reed RA (2005) Establishment, persistence and growth of aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) seedlings in Yellowstone National Park. *Ecology* 86:404–418.
- Hansen WD, Romme WH, Ba A, Turner MG (2016) Shifting ecological filters mediate postfire expansion of seedling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) in Yellowstone. For Ecol Manage 362:218–230.
- Kaye MW, Binkley DB, Stohlgren TJ (2005) Effects of conifers and elk browsing on quaking aspen forests in the central Rocky Mountains, USA. Ecol Appl 15:1284–1295.
- Calder WJ, Horn KJ, St Clair SB (2011) Conifer expansion reduces the competitive ability and herbivore defense of aspen by modifying light environment and soil chemistry. *Tree Physiol* 31:582–591.
- Calder WJ, St Clair SB (2012) Facilitation drives mortality patterns along succession gradients of aspen-conifer forests. *Ecosphere* 3:1–11.
- Balshi MS, et al. (2009) Vulnerability of carbon storage in North American boreal forests to wildfires during the 21st century. *Glob Change Biol* 15:1491–1510.
- Seidl R, Rammer W, Spies TA (2014) Disturbance legacies increase the resilience of forest ecosystem structure, composition, and functioning. *Ecol Appl* 24:2063–2077.
- 50. Dixon RK, et al. (1994) Carbon pools and flux of global forest ecosystems. *Science* 263:185–190.
- Harmon ME (2001) Carbon sequestration in forests: Addressing the scale question. J For 99:24–29.
- 52. Ryan MG, Binkley D, Fownes JH (1997) Age-related decline in forest productivity: Pattern and process. *Adv Ecol Res* 27:213–262.
- Bradford JB, Birdsey RA, Joyce LA, Ryan MG (2008) Tree age, disturbance history, and carbon stocks and fluxes in subalpine Rocky Mountain forests. *Glob Chang Biol* 14: 2882–2897.
- Kashian DM, Romme WH, Tinker DB, Turner MG, Ryan MG (2013) Postfire changes in forest carbon storage over a 300-year chronosequence of *Pinus contorta*-dominated forests. *Ecol Monogr* 83:49–66.
- 55. Stocks BJ (1989) Fire behavior in mature jack pine. Can J For Res 19:783-790.
- Dixon RK, Krankina ON (1993) Forest fires in Russia: Carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere. Can J For Res 23:700–705.
- Tinker DB, Knight DH (2000) Coarse woody debris following fire and logging in Wyoming lodgepole pine forests. *Ecosystems* 3:472–483.
- Campbell JL, Donato DC, Azuma D, Law BE (2007) Pyrogenic carbon emissions from a large wildfire in Oregon, USA. J Geophys Res 112:G04014.
- Donato DC, Fontaine JB, Campbell JL (2016) Burning the legacy? Influence of wildfire reburn on dead wood dynamics in a temperate conifer forest. *Ecosphere* 7: e01341.
- Turner MG, Romme WH, Gardner RH (1999) Prefire heterogeneity, fire severity and plant reestablishment in subalpine forests of Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. Int J Wildland Fire 9:21–23.
- Kashian DM, Romme WH, Tinker DB, Turner MG, Ryan MG (2006) Carbon cycling and storage across coniferous landscapes: Linking fire frequency, post-fire recovery, and ecosystem processes. *Bioscience* 56:598–606.
- Brown CD, Johnstone JF (2011) How does increased fire frequency affect carbon loss from fire? A case study in the northern boreal forest. Int J Wildland Fire 20:829–837.
- Goetz SJ, et al. (2012) Observations and assessment of forest carbon dynamics following disturbance in North America. J Geophys Res 117:G02022.
- 64. Smithwick EAH, et al. (2009) Modeling the effects of fire and climate change on carbon and nitrogen storage in lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) stands. *Global Change Biol* 15:535–548.
- Franklin JF, et al. (2000) Threads of continuity: Ecosystem disturbances, biological legacies, and ecosystem recovery. Conserv Biol Pract 1:8–16.
- Lindenmayer DL, Likens GE, Franklin JF (2010) Rapid responses to facilitate ecological discoveries from major disturbances. Front Ecol Environ 8:527–532.
- Hughes TP, et al. (2019) Ecological memory modifies the cumulative impact of recurrent climate extremes. Nat Clim Chang 9:40–43.
- Turner MG, et al. (2016) Climate change and novel disturbance regimes in national park landscapes. *Science, Conservation, and National Parks*, eds Beissinger SR, Ackerly DD, Doremus H, Machlis H (Univ Chicago Press, Chicago), pp 77–101.
- Thrush SF, et al. (2009) Forecasting the limits of resilience: Integrating empirical research with theory. Proc Biol Sci 276:3209–3217.
- Sommerfeld A, et al. (2018) Patterns and drivers of recent disturbances across the temperate forest biome. Nat Commun 9:4355.
- Seidl R, et al. (2011) Modelling natural disturbances in forest ecosystems: A review. Ecol Modell 222:903–924.
- Gustafson EJ (2013) When relationships estimated in the past cannot be used to predict the future: Using mechanistic models to predict landscape ecological dynamics in a changing world. *Landsc Ecol* 28:1429–1437.
- Frolking S, et al. (2009) Forest disturbance and recovery: A general review in the context of spaceborne remote sensing of impacts on aboveground biomass and canopy structure. J Geophys Res 114:G00E02.
- Bonan GB, Doney SC (2018) Climate, ecosystems, and planetary futures: The challenge to predict life in Earth system models. *Science* 359:eaam8328.
- 75. US Department of Energy (2018) Disturbance and vegetation dynamics (Office of Biological and Environmental Research, US Department of Energy Office of Science), Earth System Models Workshop Report DOE/SC-0196. Available at https://tes.science. energy.gov/files/vegetationdynamics.pdf. Accessed April 30, 2019.
- Buotte PC, et al. (2019) Near-future forest vulnerability to drought and fire varies across the western United States. Glob Chang Biol 25:290–303.
- Gude PH, Hansen AJ, Rasker R, Maxwell B (2006) Rates and drivers of rural residential development in the Greater Yellowstone. *Landsc Urban Plan* 77:131–151.
- Romme WH, Despain DG (1989) Historical perspective on the Yellowstone fires of 1988. *Bioscience* 39:695–699.

- Meyer GA, Pierce JL (2003) Climatic controls on fire-induced sediment pulses in Yellowstone National Park and central Idaho: A long-term perspective. For Ecol Manage 178:89–104.
- Millspaugh SH, Whitlock C, Bartlein PJ (2000) Variations in fire frequency and climate over the past 17 000 yr in central Yellowstone National Park. *Geology* 28:211–214.
- Higuera PE, Whitlock C, Gage JA (2011) Linking tree-ring and sediment-charcoal records to reconstruct fire occurrence and area burned in subalpine forests of Yellowstone National Park, USA. *Holocene* 21:327–341.
- Whitlock C, et al. (2008) Long-term relations among fire, fuel, and climate in the northwestern US based on lake-sediment studies. Int J Wildland Fire 17:72–83.
- Turner MG, Romme WH, Gardner RH, Hargrove WW (1997) Effects of patch size and fire pattern on succession in Yellowstone National Park. *Ecol Monogr* 67:411–433.
- Turner MG, Romme WH, Tinker DB (2003a) Surprises and lessons from the 1988 Yellowstone fires. Front Ecol Environ 1:351–358.
- Turner MG, Tinker DB, Romme WH, Kashian DM, Litton CM (2004) Landscape patterns of sapling density, leaf area, and aboveground net primary production in postfire lodgepole pine forests, Yellowstone National Park (USA). *Ecosystems* 7:751– 775.
- Turner MG, Smithwick EAH, Metzger KL, Tinker DB, Romme WH (2007) Inorganic nitrogen availability after severe stand-replacing fire in the Greater Yellowstone ecosystem. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:4782–4789.
- Turner MG, Turner DM, Romme WH, Tinker DB (2007) Cone production in young post-fire *Pinus contorta* stands in Greater Yellowstone (USA). *For Ecol Manage* 242: 119–206.
- Turner MG, Smithwick EAH, Tinker DB, Romme WH (2009) Variation in foliar nitrogen and aboveground net primary production in young postfire lodgepole pine. *Can J For Res* 39:1024–1035.
- Turner MG, Whitby TG, Tinker DB, Romme WH (2016) Twenty-four years after the Yellowstone Fires: Are postfire lodgepole pine stands converging in structure and function? *Ecology* 97:1260–1273.
- 90. Romme WH, et al. (2011) Twenty years after the 1988 Yellowstone fires: Lessons about disturbance and ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 14:1196–1215.
- Romme WH, Whitby TG, Tinker DB, Turner MG (2016) Deterministic and stochastic processes lead to divergence in plant communities during the first 25 years after the 1988 Yellowstone Fires. *Ecol Monogr* 86:327–351.
- Liu Y, Goodrick SL, Stanturf JA (2013) Future U.S. wildfire potential trends projected using a dynamical downscaled climate change scenario. *For Ecol Manage* 294:120– 135.
- Peterson DL, Littell JS (2014) Risk assessment for wildfire in the western United States. *Climate Change and United States Forests*, eds Peterson DL, Vose JM, Patel-Weynand T (Springer, New York), pp 232–235.
- Kashian DM, Turner MG, Romme WH (2005) Changes in leaf area and stemwood increment with stand development in Yellowstone National Park: Relationships between forest stand structure and function. *Ecosystems* 8:48–61.
- Kashian DM, Turner MG, Romme WH, Lorimer CJ (2005) Variability and convergence in stand structure with forest development on a fire-dominated landscape. *Ecology* 86:643–654.
- Seidl R, Rammer W, Scheller RM, Spies TA (2012) An individual-based process model to simulate landscape-scale forest ecosystem dynamics. *Ecol Modell* 231:87–100.
- Braziunas KB, Hansen WD, Seidl R, Rammer W, Turner MG (2018) Looking beyond the mean: Drivers of variability in postfire stand development of conifers in Greater Yellowstone. For Ecol Manage 430:460–471.
- Hansen WD (2018) Resilience to changing climate and wildfire in subalpine conifer forests of Greater Yellowstone. PhD dissertation (University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI).
- 99. Keeley JE (2009) Fire intensity, fire severity and burn severity: A brief review and suggested usage. Int J Wildland Fire 18:116-126.
- Nelson KN, Turner MG, Romme WH, Tinker DB (2016) Landscape variation in tree regeneration and snag fall drive fuel loads in 24-year old post-fire lodgepole pine forests. Ecol Appl 26:2422–2436.
- Nelson KN, Turner MG, Romme WH, Tinker DB (2017) Wind and fuels drive fire behavior in young, postfire lodgepole pine forests. Int J Wildland Fire 26:852–865.
- Harvey BJ, Donato DC, Turner MG (2016) Burn me twice, shame on who? Interactions between successive forest fires across a temperate mountain region. *Ecology* 97:2272–2282.
- 103. Larsen JA (1921) Natural reproduction on burns (USDA Forest Service Archives, Coeur d'Alene, ID), Progress Report on Studies on Coeur d'Alene National Forest.
- Scott JH, Reinhardt ED (2001) Assessing crown fire potential by linking models of surface and crown fire behavior (USDA Forest Service, Fort Collins, CO), General Technical Report RMRS-RP-29, pp 1–59.
- 105. Grabinski ZS, Sherriff RL, Kane JM (2017) Controls of reburn severity vary with fire interval in the Klamath Mountains, California, USA. *Ecosphere* 8:e02012.
- Coen JL, Stavros EN, Fites-Kaufman JA (2018) Deconstructing the King megafire. Ecol Appl 28:1565–1580.
- Stevens-Rumann CS, et al. (2018) Evidence for declining forest resilience to wildfires under climate change. *Ecol Lett* 21:243–252.
- Espelta JM, Verkaik I, Eugenio M, Lloret F (2008) Recurrent wildfires constrain longterm reproduction ability in *Pinus halepensis* Mill. Int J Wildland Fire 17:579–585.
- Harris RMB, et al. (2018) Biological responses to the press and pulse of climate trends and extreme events. Nat Clim Chang 8:579–587.
- Coop JD, Parks SA, McClernan SR, Holsinger LM (2016) Influences of prior wildfires on vegetation response to subsequent fire in a reburned Southwestern landscape. *Ecol Appl* 26:346–354.

- Schier GA, Campbell RB (1978) Aspen sucker regeneration following burning and clearcutting on two sites in the Rocky Mountains. *For Sci* 24:303–308.
- 112. Bartos DL, Brown JK, Gordon DB (1994) Twelve years biomass response in aspen communities following fire. J Range Manage 47:79–83.
- 113. Frey BR, Lieffers VJ, Landhäusser SM, Comeau PG, Greenway KJ (2003) An analysis of sucker regeneration of trembling aspen. *Can J For Res* 1179:1169–1179.
- Fairman TA, Bennett LT, Tupper S, Nitschke CR (2017) Frequent wildfires erode tree persistence and alter stand structure and initial composition of a fire-tolerant subalpine forest. J Veg Sci 28:1151–1165.
- Johnstone JF, Chapin FS, III (2006) Fire interval effects on successional trajectory in boreal forest, northwest Canada. *Ecosystems* 9:268–277.
- Savage M, Mast JN, Feddema JJ (2013) Double whammy: High-severity fire and drought in ponderosa pine forests of the Southwest. Can J For Res 583:570–583.
- Hansen WD, Turner MG (2019) Origins of abrupt change? Postfire subalpine conifer regeneration declines nonlinearly with warming and drying. *Ecol Monogr* 89: e01340.
- Harvey BJ, Donato DC, Turner M (2016) High and dry: Postfire drought and large stand-replacing burn patches reduce postfire tree regeneration in subalpine forests. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 25:655–669.
- Dusenge ME, Duarte AG, Way DA (2019) Plant carbon metabolism and climate change: Elevated CO₂ and temperature impacts on photosynthesis, photorespiration and respiration. *New Phytol* 221:32–49.
- Stevens-Rumann C, Morgan P (2016) Repeated wildfires alter forest recovery of mixed-conifer ecosystems. *Ecol Appl* 26:1842–1853.
- 121. Frock CF, Turner MG (2018) Microhabitat conditions and landscape pattern explain nocturnal rodent activity, but not seed removal, in burned and unburned lodgepole pine forests. *Landsc Ecol* 11:1895–1909.
- 122. Remsburg AJ, Turner MG (2006) Amount, position and age of coarse wood influence litter decomposition within and among young post-fire *Pinus contorta* stands. *Can J For Res* 36:2112–2123.
- Metzger KL, et al. (2008) Influence of pine saplings and coarse wood on nitrogen mineralization and microbial communities in young post-fire *Pinus contorta*. For Ecol Manage 256:59–67.
- 124. Smithwick EAH, Westerling AL, Turner MG, Romme WH, Ryan MG (2011) Vulnerability of landscape carbon fluxes to future climate and fire in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem. Proceedings of the 10th Biennial Scientific Conference on the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, ed Andersen C (Yellowstone Center for Resources, Yellowstone National Park, WY), pp 131–134.
- Dobor L, et al. (2018) Post-disturbance recovery of forest carbon in a temperate forest landscape under climate change. *Agric For Meteorol* 263:308–322.
- Carpenter SR, Brock WA (2006) Rising variance: A leading indicator of ecological transition. *Ecol Lett* 9:311–318.
- Dakos V, Kéfi S, Rietkerk M, van Nes EH, Scheffer M (2011) Slowing down in spatially patterned ecosystems at the brink of collapse. Am Nat 177:E153–E166.
- Dakos V, Carpenter SR, van Nes EH, Scheffer M (2015) Resilience indicators: Prospects and limitations for early warnings of regime shifts. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 370:20120263.
- 129. Scheffer M, Carpenter S, Dakos V, van Nes E (2015) Generic indicators of ecological resilience: Inferring the chance of a critical transition. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 46: 145–167.
- Turner MG, Baker WL, Peterson C, Peet RK (1998) Factors influencing succession: Lessons from large, infrequent natural disturbances. *Ecosystems* 1:511–523.
- Turetsky MR, et al. (2017) Losing legacies, ecological release, and transient responses: Key challenges for the future of northern ecosystem science. *Ecosystems* 20: 23–30.
- 132. Tepley AJ, Thompson JR, Epstein HE, Anderson-Teixeira KJ (2017) Vulnerability to forest loss through altered postfire recovery dynamics in a warming climate in the Klamath Mountains. *Glob Chang Biol* 23:4117–4132.
- 133. Treurnicht M, et al. (2016) Environmental drivers of demographic variation across the global geographical range of 26 plant species. J Ecol 104:331–342.
- Coppoletta M, Merriam KE, Collins BM (2016) Post-fire vegetation and fuel development influences fire severity patterns in reburns. *Ecol Appl* 26:686–699.
- Tinker DB, Romme WH, Hargrove WW, Gardner RH, Turner MG (1994) Landscapescale heterogeneity in lodgepole pine serotiny. Can J For Res 24:897–303.
- Brown JK (1974) Handbook for inventorying downed woody material (USDA Forest Service, Ogden, UT), General Technical Report INT-16, p 24.
- 137. Copenhaver PE, Tinker DB (2014) Stem density and age affect tree-level structural and functional characteristics of young, postfire lodgepole pine in Yellowstone National Park. For Ecol Manage 320:138–148.
- 138. Turner MG, Braziunas KH, Hansen WD, Harvey BJ (2019) Data from "Plot-level field data and model simulation results, archived to accompany Turner et al. manuscript; reports data from summer 2017 sampling of short-interval fires that burned during summer 2016 in Greater Yellowstone." Environmental Data Initiative. Available at https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/a1b7791376a04ce8c6ea9043547bb6af. Deposited April 8, 2019.
- 139. SAS Institute (2018) SAS Version 9.4 (for Windows) (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).
- 140. Thornton PE, et al. (2018) Daymet: Daily Surface Weather Data on a 1-km Grid for North America, Version 3 (Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, TN).
- 141. Koch P (1996) Lodgepole Pine in North America (Forest Products Society, Madison, WI).



Supplementary Information for

Short-interval severe fire erodes the resilience of subalpine lodgepole pine forests

Monica G. Turner^a, Kristin H. Braziunas^a, Winslow D. Hansen^b, and Brian J. Harvey^c

^aDepartment of Integrative Biology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706

^bThe Earth Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY 10025

^cSchool of Environmental and Forest Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

Corresponding author: Monica G. Turner Email: turnermg@wisc.edu

This PDF file includes:

Supplementary text Figs. S1 to S2 Tables S1 to S5 References for SI reference citations

Other supplementary materials for this manuscript include the following:

Movie SI

Supplementary Information Text

Study region

Our study was conducted in Greater Yellowstone (USA) centered on Yellowstone National Park and the Rockefeller Parkway (managed by Grand Teton National Park) in the northwestern corner of Wyoming. Yellowstone National Park encompasses 9,000 km² on a high-elevation (ca. 2,050 to 2,650 m) forested plateau. Approximately 80% of the park is dominated by lodgepole pine forest (1). The climate is generally cool and dry, but the summer of 1988 was the driest on record since 1886 (2). Large, stand-replacing fires have occurred at 100- to 300-yr intervals during warm, dry periods throughout the Holocene (3–7). However, the 1988 fires were remarkable for their severity and size, affecting 570,000 ha within Greater Yellowstone during the driest summer in the instrumental record (8). Within the named fires that burned in 1988, our study included areas in the Huck Fire in the south-central portion of Greater Yellowstone, and the North Fork Fire in west-central Yellowstone National Park (Fig. S1).

The 1,280-ha Glade Fire burned during summer 2000 and was located just south of Yellowstone National Park in 150- and 120-yr old lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia*) forests that developed following stand-replacing fires in 1856 and 1879, respectively. The substrate consisted of Quaternary rhyolite bedrock and rhyolite-dominated glacial deposits, and soils were mostly Typic Cryumbrepts and Dystric Chryocrepts.

In Yellowstone's lodgepole pine forests, severe, stand-replacing fire kills all trees, consumes the shallow litter layer, and exposes mineral soil; postfire forests have essentially no duff. Tree regeneration is usually rapid, within the first year in areas of crown fire (9), but spatially very variable across the landscape (10–11). Patterns of lodgepole pine density that established following the 1988 fires had not changed significantly during the 24 years following fire (12). Spatial variation in stand structure and function among stands attenuate slowly over time, converging within 175 years following fire as initially dense stands self-thin and initially sparse stands infill (13–14).

Stand characteristics prior to short-interval fire

Stand structure. Prefire lodgepole pine density varied among sites but not with burn status, and there was no interaction between site and burn status (Table S1). Reconstructed prefire density was higher in the 28-yr old Maple-North Fork site (72,070 stems ha⁻¹) than in the 16-yr-old Berry-Glade and 28-yr-old Berry-Huck sites (19,644 and 11,244 stems ha⁻¹, respectively). Prefire tree basal diameter averaged 6.3 ± 0.6 cm (range 1.9 to 15 cm) and did not differ between plots that did and did not reburn, but mean basal diameter did vary among sites (Table S1). Trees were considerably larger in the Berry-Huck sites (5.3 and 4.4 cm basal diameter, respectively). As is typical for young lodgepole pine stands in Greater Yellowstone, mean tree basal diameter declined with increasing stem density (Pearson r = -0.71, p < 0.0001).

Prefire lodgepole pine foliage biomass (canopy fuels) and total aboveground biomass (AB) varied among sites and with burn status (Table S1, Table 1). Among sites, aboveground biomass was greater in the 28-yr old stands (Maple-North Fork and Berry-Huck) compared to the 16-vr old stands (Berry-Glade; Table 1). Aboveground biomass also was greater in plots that did not reburn relative to reconstructed prefire biomass in reburned plots (Table 1). However, this effect was driven only by the unburned plots near the Maple Fire, which were spatially separated (see Figure S1) because unburned areas were not available adjacent to the burned plots. Although lodgepole pine densities did not differ with burn status at the Maple-North Fork site, trees in the unburned plots were considerably larger than in the reburned plots (Table 1). Prefire lodgepole pine biomass estimates did not differ between burned and unburned plots at the other two sites. Thus, with the exception of tree size and pre-reburn aboveground biomass in the Maple Fire, plots that reburned in 2016 were similar to nearby plots that did not reburn. However, no analyses of change in stand structure used data from the plots that did not reburn in 2016; rather, we used stem density and basal area measurements from the reburned plots themselves.

Aspens were present at all three sites (Table 1), and all appeared by morphology (15–17) to have established from seed after the 1988 or 2000 fires. Among sites, aspens were substantially more abundant at the Berry-Glade compared to the Berry-Huck and Maple-North Fork sites (Table 1). Aspen density was higher in plots that did not reburn than what we could reconstruct within the reburned plots. As mentioned in the main text, we suspect that our estimate of prefire aspen density (reconstructed from post-reburn stumps) is conservative because aspens that were killed by fire may have combusted such that they were not detectable the following year.

Down coarse wood. The prefire percent cover of coarse wood (> 7.5 cm diameter; reconstructed in burned plots by summing percent cover of coarse wood and ghost logs) averaged 12.8% and did not differ among sites or between burn classes (Table S1).

Estimates of prefire coarse wood volume and biomass were based only on data from the nearby plots that had not reburned because it was not possible to reconstruct wood volumes after the fire. Nearly all trees that had been killed by the 1988 and 2000 fires had already fallen, and fuels in the young stands have been well described (18). Coarse wood volume and biomass varied among sites (Table S1) and were greater at the Berry-Huck than at the Berry-Glade and Maple-North Fork sites (Table 1). Except for the Maple-North Fork site, plots that did and did not reburn were located in close proximity and had been > 140 years of age when burned in 1988 or 2000. Thus, we have no reason to believe coarse wood would have been substantially different within the reburned plots. To test this for the Maple-NorthFork fire, we examined fuels data collected in 2012 (24 yrs postfire) within four plots near our six reburned study plots that had regenerated after the 1988 fires (18). While live lodgepole pine trees would have been smaller than when we made our measurements in 2017, the down coarse wood should have been similar. Coarse wood biomass averaged 81.9 ± 17.3 Mg ha⁻¹ among the four plots (Cougar Moderate, Seven Mile Bridge, Gneiss Creek Trail South, and Cougar Creek Trail; 18)

which was slightly higher but similar to the mean of $67.5 \pm 11.0 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ for the three plots we sampled in that area during 2017 (Table 1).

Simulation modeling using iLand

To simulate stand development, we used iLand, an individual-based forest process model that uses a hierarchical framework in which broader-scale processes emerge dynamically from interactions among individual trees (96; see http://iland.boku.ac.at for documentation). iLand is forced with daily temperature, precipitation, vapor pressure deficit, and shortwave solar radiation. The model simulates tree growth, mortality, and interactions among trees as a function of climatic and environmental drivers, such as light availability, temperature, soil water, and nutrients. Climate and soil are assumed to be spatially homogeneous within a 1-ha stand, but within-stand variation in light and tree regeneration is simulated at 2×2m resolution based on forest structure. iLand also includes processes that underpin tree-regeneration, including seed production and the environmental controls on tree seedling establishment (19). We previously parameterized iLand for the dominant conifers Greater Yellowstone, and it performs well for simulating postfire tree regeneration, stand development, and carbon stocks (19–21).

For this study, we used iLand at the stand level (1 ha resolution) with parameters for lodgepole pine stand dynamics as reported in Braziunas et al. (20, Appendix A); for postfire tree regeneration in Hansen et al. (19, Appendix S1); and for carbon cycling in Hansen et al. (21, Appendix 2). All input data were obtained for actual locations of each of the 18 reburned plots and from field data collected in this study (Table S5). Because our goal was to assess consequences of the short-interval fire in the absence of additional confounding factors, we used historical climate data (22) and simulated stand development without additional disturbance. Each simulation was run for 150 years and replicated 20 times, with climate years drawn randomly with replacement from a 30-year climate record (1980-2017) to avoid undue weighting of any particular sequence of years. Simulated aboveground live, dead, and total carbon stocks were averaged by year across the 20 replicate runs for each stand. We then calculated the mean and 95% confidence interval for each C stock annually across the 18 stands for initialized with either reconstructed prefire or postfire stand structure. We assessed the time required to reach an aboveground C stock of 150 Mg C ha⁻¹ (estimated from chronosequence data in this system for mature lodgepole pine stands showing no evidence of prior bark beetle outbreaks; 23, Table 3) and whether and when C accumulation trajectories converged.



Fig. S1. Study sites and plot locations in Greater Yellowstone showing perimeters of the 1988 and 2000 fires in read and areas of overlap with the 2016 fires. Field sampling was conducted during summer 2017, one year after the short-interval fires.



Fig. S2. Illustrative photos of lodgepole pine forests that regenerated after the 1988 fires (left column) or the 2000 Glade Fire (right column) and did not reburn during 2016. All photos were taken from plot center in 2017. Stands like those in the upper row burned as either crown or severe-surface fire. Stands of small high-density lodgepole pines like those in the bottom row sometimes burned as crown fire plus. Photos by Deirdre E. Turner (upper left) and Monica G. Turner (all others).

Overall model						
Pre-reburn response variable	r ²	р	Explanatory variable	d.f	F	р
Lodgepole pine density	0.45	0.0215	Site	2	6.76	0.0054
			Burn status	1	0.14	0.7108
			Site x burn status	2	0.31	0.7363
Mean tree basal diameter	0.52	0.0061	Site	2	7.51	0.0035
			Burn status	1	3.07	0.0941
			Site x burn status	2	0.41	0.6689
Lodgepole foliar biomass	0.74	< 0.0001	Site	2	19.20	< 0.0001
			Burn status	1	11.49	0.0028
			Site x burn status	2	9.28	0.0013
Total lodgepole biomass	0.76	< 0.0001	Site	2	22.3	< 0.0001
			Burn status	1	11.1	0.0031
			Site x burn status	2	9.82	0.0010
Coarse wood cover (%)	0.17	0.5329	Site	2	0.10	0.9094
			Burn status	1	3.98	0.0592
			Site x burn status	2	0.06	0.9424
Coarse wood volume $(m^3 ha^{-1})$	0.71	0.0243	Site	2	7.36	0.2430
Coarse wood biomass (Mg ha ⁻¹)	0.66	0.0396	Site	2	5.79	0.0398

Table S1. Analysis of variance results for pre-reburn stand structure in 27 plots sampled during summer 2017. Pre-reburn coarse wood volume and biomass were quantified only in plots that did not reburn (n = 9). Lodgepole pine density was log_{10} transformed prior to analysis.

Table S2. Best one- or two-variable models explaining variation in measures of burn severity in young lodgepole pine stands that reburned (n = 18 plots). Bole scorch was not modeled because the mean was 100% for 16 of the 18 plots. (A) Model selection based on AIC, all models within two AIC units presented. (B) Coefficients and partial r^2 values for the single best model or the most parsimonious of models that were equally supported.

Variables in model	AIC	Adjusted r^2
Stump density $(\log_{10} \text{ stems } ha^{-1})$		
Prefire lodgepole pine density, prefire aboveground biomass	-37.72	0.89
Proportion stems consumed		
Mean basal diameter	-43.58	0.36
Mean basal diameter, prefire aboveground biomass	-42.26	0.34
Mean basal diameter, slope	-41.83	0.33
Mean basal diameter, prefire percent cover coarse wood	-41.79	0.32
Percent cover of charred material		
Mean basal diameter	-49 22	0.42
Mean basal diameter prefire percent cover coarse wood	-47.29	0.12
Mean basal diameter, prefire aboveground biomass	-47.24	0.39
Powert course of have mineral soil		
Percent cover of oure mineral sou	60.66	0.59
Prenire aboveground biomass, prenire lodgepole pine density	-09.00	0.58
Percent cover of live vegetation		
Mean basal diameter, prefire aboveground biomass	-58.84	0.58
Mean basal diameter	-57.91	0.53
Proportion of tree height charred		
Prefire lodgepole pine density prefire aboveground biomass	-66 21	0.83
Prefire lodgepole pine density	-66.86	0.83
Prefire lodgepole pine density, prefire percent cover coarse wood	-65.20	0.82

A. Model selection for best one- or two-variable models for burn severity metrics

Variable	Coefficient	Partial r^2	Model r^2	F	Р
	Stump de	nsity			
Intercent	_2 A349	hstry			
Prefire lodgenole nine density	1 5412	0.86	0.86	97 14	< 0.0001
Prefire aboveground biomass	-0.0109	0.00	0.90	7.09	0.0178
	Proportion stem	s consumed			
Intercept	0.8032	••••••••			
Mean basal diameter	-0.0693	0.36	0.36	10.54	0.0051
Р	ercent cover of ch	arred materia	al		
Intercept	1.3471				
Mean basal diameter	-0.0671	0.46	0.46	13.54	0.0020
Р	ercent cover of ba	re mineral so	il		
Intercept	0.0750				
Prefire aboveground biomass	-0.0079	0.34	0.34	8.16	0.0114
Prefire lodgepole pine density	0.1839	0.29	0.63	11.51	0.0040
	Percent cover of li	ve vegetation	!		
Intercept	-0.0457	-			
Mean basal diameter	0.0605	0.56	0.56	20.44	0.0003
F	Proportion of tree I	height charre	d		
Intercept	-0.8359	J			
Prefire lodgepole pine density	0.5037	0.84	0.84	82.91	< 0.0001

B. Best one- or two-variable models for measures of burn severity

Table S3. Differences in stem density and aboveground carbon pools before and after shortinterval reburns. Prefire reflects tree regeneration following the first long-interval fire and key C pools in the young lodgepole pine forests before they burned again in 2016. Postfire reflects measurements made in 2017. Relative change reflects the difference in regeneration following the first and second of two sequential fires. n = 18. Entries are mean \pm SE (median) [min to max].

Variable	Prefire	Postfire	Relative
	(reconstructed)		change (%)
Lodgepole pine stem density [§] (stems ha ⁻¹)	36,294 ± 8,670 (25,000) [500 to 107,633]	6,450 ± 2,605 (2,467) [633 to 39,600]	-52 ± 17% (-77%) [-99 to 127%]
Aboveground C stocks (Mg C ha ⁻¹)			
Live tree C	18.8 ± 2.3 (21.9) [0.7 to 37.6]	0	-100%
Standing dead tree C	0	9.65 ± 2.0 (10.3) [0.01 to 28.2]	
Downed coarse wood C	40.1 ± 2.3 (34.0) [33.1 to 53.4]	14.4 ± 2.4 (15.2) [2.3 to 36.5]	-64%
Total aboveground C stock (live trees, dead snags, coarse wood)	58.9 ± 3.9 (56.8) [34.6 to 91.0]	24.0 ± 3.9 (23.2) [2.3 to 51.7]	-62% ± 5% (-58%) [-32 to -96%]

[§]Prefire stem density reflects regeneration density after the earlier fire in 1988 or 2000; postfire stem density reflects tree seedlings regenerated after the 2016 reburn.

Table S4. Analysis of variance results for measurements of coarse wood remaining in young (16 or 28-yr old) forests that did or did not reburn during summer 2016. Prefire coarse wood cover was reconstructed for burned plots by summing percent cover of coarse wood and "ghost logs" (soil shadows indicating where coarse wood was consumed by the fire). Prefire coarse wood biomass was estimated for reburned plots by using the mean of the three nearby unburned plots. For analyses of coarse wood cover, volume and biomass, n = 27 plots. Proportion of C combusted was analyzed only in reburned plots, n = 18.

Response variable	r^2	р	Explanatory variable	d.f.	F	р
Coarse wood cover (%) ^a	0.57	0.0022	Site	2	0.98	0.3908
			Burn status	1	24.32	< 0.0001
			Site x burn status	2	0.18	0.8340
Coarse wood volume $(m^3 ha^{-1})$	0.81	<0.0001	Site Burn status	2 1	27.44 53.99	< 0.0001 < 0.0001
			Site x burn status	2	0.27	0.7637
Coarse wood biomass (Mg ha ⁻¹)	0.83	<0.0001	Site Burn status Site x burn status	2 1 2	15.41 71.65 0.54	< 0.0001 < 0.0001 0 5932
Proportion of aboveground C lost ^a	0.91	0.0001	Site Burn-severity class ^b Site x burn-severity class	2 2 2	7.68 19.40 5.43	0.0082 0.0002 0.0229

^aAnalysis performed on arc-sin-square root transformed data.

.

^bCrown fire plus (92%) was significantly higher that crown and severe-surface fire (64% and 51%, respectively (Tukey's test, p < 0.05)

Data type	Variable(s)	References	Notes
Climate	Daily temperature, radiation, precipitation, vapor pressure deficit	(22), this study	Historical (1980-2017) climate downloaded from Daymet using field plot center coordinates.
Relative soil fertility	Available nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	(1, 20–21, 24)	Relative fertility assigned to each site: 45 (Maple-North Fork), 50 (Berry-Huck), 55 (Berry-Glade).
Soil depth and texture	Effective depth, sand/silt/clay content	CONUS-soils (25), this study	Effective depth and average soil texture derived from CONUS- soils using field plot center coordinates.
Reburned plots			
1 ST -yr lodgepole pine seedlings	Species, count, height, age	(26), this study,	All seedlings for serotinous lodgepole pine based on elevation of plot locations (< 2400 m), counts derived from field data, and heights of 3-5 cm based on field observations.
Standing woody debris (snags)	Carbon, count	This study	Derived from field data.
Downed woody debris (DWD)	Carbon	This study	Derived from field data.

Table S5. Initial conditions and drivers for simulations of stand development for 150 years using iLand.

Assuming plots did not reburn (based on reconstructed prefire conditions)

Saplings and trees	Species, count, height, diameter at breast height, age	(26), this study	All stems serotinous lodgepole pine, tree counts and sizes derived from field data, assumed trees were from single regeneration cohort after long-interval fire.
Downed woody debris (DWD)	Carbon	This study	Derived from field data.

Movie: Fires in the West may be changing the future of forests

https://youtu.be/dD8VLS5F2Xo

Movie S1. Produced and published by the University of Wisconsin-Madison for education and outreach, this movie features the coauthors of this manuscript conducting the field studies reported in this study and discussing what these findings could mean for forests of the future in Yellowstone.

References

- 1. Despain DG (1990) Yellowstone Vegetation. Roberts Rinehart, Boulder, CO.
- 2. Renkin RA, Despain DG (1992) Fuel moisture, forest type, and lightning-caused fire in Yellowstone National Park. *Can J For Res* 22:37-45.
- 3. Romme WH, Despain DG (1989) Historical perspective on the Yellowstone fires of 1988. *BioScience* 39:695-699.
- 4. Meyer GA, Pierce JL (2003) Climatic controls on fire-induced sediment pulses in Yellowstone National Park and central Idaho: a long-term perspective. *Forest Ecol Manag* 178:89-104.
- 5. Millspaugh SH, Whitlock C, Bartlein PJ (2000) Variations in fire frequency and climate over the past 17 000 yr in central Yellowstone National Park. *Geology* 28:211-214.
- 6. Higuera PE, Whitlock C, Gage JA (2011) Linking tree-ring and sediment-charcoal records to reconstruct fire occurrence and area burned in subalpine forests of Yellowstone National Park, USA. *Holocene* 21: 327-341.
- 7. Whitlock C, Marlon J, Briles C, Brunelle A, Long C, Bartlein P (2008) Long-term relations among fire, fuel, and climate in the northwestern US based on lake-sediment studies. *Int J Wildland Fire* 17:72-83.
- 8. Romme WH, et al. (2011) Twenty years after the 1988 Yellowstone fires: lessons about disturbance and ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 14:1196-1215.
- 9. Turner MG, Romme WH, Gardner RH (1999) Prefire heterogeneity, fire severity and plant reestablishment in subalpine forests of Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. *Int J Wildland Fire* 9:21-3.
- 10. Turner MG, Romme WH, Gardner RH, Hargrove WW (1997) Effects of patch size and fire pattern on succession in Yellowstone National Park. *Ecol Monogr* 67:411-433.
- 11. Turner MG, Tinker DB, Romme WH, Kashian DM, Litton CM (2004) Landscape patterns of sapling density, leaf area, and aboveground net primary production in postfire lodgepole pine forests, Yellowstone National Park (USA). *Ecosystems* 7:751-775.
- 12. Turner MG, Whitby TG, Tinker DB, Romme WH (2016) Twenty-four years after the Yellowstone Fires: Are postfire lodgepole pine stands converging in structure and function? *Ecology* 97:1260-1273.
- 13. Kashian DM, Turner MG, Romme WH (2005) Changes in leaf area and stemwood increment with stand development in Yellowstone National Park: Relationships between forest stand structure and function. *Ecosystems* 8:48-61.
- 14. Kashian DM, Turner MG, Romme WH, Lorimer CJ (2005) Variability and convergence in stand structure with forest development on a fire-dominated landscape. *Ecology* 86:643-654.
- 15. Turner MG, Romme WH, Reed RA, Tuskan GA (2003) Postfire aspen seedling recruitment across the Yellowstone (USA) landscape. *Landscape Ecol* 18: 127-140.
- 16. Romme WH, Turner MG, Tuskan GA, Reed RA (2005) Establishment, persistence and growth of aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) seedlings in Yellowstone National Park. *Ecology* 86:404-418.
- 17. Hansen WD, Romme WH, Ba A, Turner MG (2016) Shifting ecological filters mediate postfire expansion of seedling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) in Yellowstone. *Forest Ecol Manag* 362:218-230.
- 18. Nelson KN, Turner MG, Romme WH, Tinker DB (2016) Landscape variation in tree regeneration and snag fall drive fuel loads in 25-yr old post-fire lodgepole pine forests. *Ecol Appl* 26:2424-2438.
- 19. Hansen WD, Braziunas KH, Rammer W, Seidl R, Turner MG (2018) It takes a few to tango: changing climate and fire regimes can cause regeneration failure of two subalpine conifers. *Ecology* 99:966-977.

- Braziunas KB, Hansen WD, Seidl R, Rammer W, Turner MG (2018) Looking beyond the mean: Drivers of variability in postfire stand development of conifers in Greater Yellowstone. *Forest Ecol Manag* 430:460-471
- 21. Hansen WD (2018) *Resilience to changing climate and wildfire in subalpine conifer forests of Greater Yellowstone.* PhD Dissertation, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin.
- 22. Thornton PE, et al. (2018) Daymet: Daily surface weather data on a 1-km grid for North America, Version 3. Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. <u>https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1328</u>.
- 23. Kashian DM, Romme WH, Tinker DB, Turner MG, Ryan MG (2013) Post-fire changes in forest carbon storage over a 300-year chronosequence of *Pinus contorta*-dominated forests. *Ecol Monogr* 83:49-66.
- 24. Coops NC, Waring RH, Hilker T (2012) Prediction of soil properties using a process-based forest growth model to match satellite-derived estimates of leaf area index. *Remote Sens Environ* 126, 160–173.
- 25. Miller DA, White RA (1998) A conterminous United States multilayer soil characteristics dataset for regional climate and hydrology modeling. *Earth Interact* 2:1–26.
- 26. Schoennagel T, Turner MG, Romme WH (2003) The influence of fire interval and serotiny on postfire lodgepole pine density in Yellowstone National Park. *Ecology* 84:2967-2978.